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Abstract. By using the finite element approximation and constructive a priori error estimates, a new formulation for proving the existence of solutions for nonlinear parabolic problems is presented. We present a method to estimate the norm of the linearized inverse operator for concerned nonlinear problem. Then we formulate a verification principle for solutions by using the Newton-type operator incorporating with Schauder’s fixed point theorem.
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1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider a numerical method to verify the existence of solutions for the following nonlinear parabolic problems:

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u = f(u; x, t) \quad (x, t) \in Q,
\]

\[
u(x, 0) = 0 \quad x \in \Omega,
\]

\[
u(x, t) = 0 \quad (x, t) \in \partial \Omega \times J.
\]

where \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) is a bounded and convex domain (\( n = 1, 2, 3 \)), \( J = (0, T] \) with \( T > 0 \) and \( Q \equiv \Omega \times J \). Here, the nonlinear map \( f \) will be prescribed later.

1.1. Functional spaces

Here we denote the usual \( k \)-th order \( L^2 \) Sobolev space on \( \Omega \) by \( H^k(\Omega) \), and also denote the \( L^2 \)-inner product and norm on \( \Omega \) by \( (\cdot, \cdot)_\Omega \) and \( \| \cdot \|_\Omega \), respectively. Moreover, we introduce the following Sobolev spaces:

\[ H(Q) \equiv \{ \phi \in H_0(\Omega) \cap H^1(J; L^2(\Omega)) \mid \phi(x, 0) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \}, \]

where \( H_0(\Omega) = L^2(J; H^1_0(\Omega)) \) and \( H_1(\Omega) \equiv \{ \phi \in H^1(\Omega) \mid \phi = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega \} \). We define the \( H_0 \)-norm and \( H \)-norm by

\[ \| \phi \|_{H(Q)} \equiv \left( (\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}) + (\nabla \phi, \nabla \phi) \right)^{1/2}, \]

for \( \phi \in H(Q) \), respectively.

1.2. Finite element subspaces and projections

We introduce the finite element subspaces \( S_h \) of \( H_0(\Omega) \) and \( S^k \) of \( L^2(J) \) depending on the parameter \( h \) and \( k \) with nodal functions \( \{ \phi_i \}_{1 \leq i \leq N_h} \) and \( \{ \psi_i \}_{1 \leq i \leq N_k} \), respectively.

Moreover, we denote the finite element subspace \( S^k_h := S_h \otimes S^k \) of \( H(Q) \) with nodal functions \( \{ \phi_i \}_{1 \leq i \leq N_h} \).

For an arbitrary \( u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \), we define the \( H_0^1 \)-projection \( P_x : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow S_h \subset H_0^1(\Omega) \) by \( (\nabla u - \nabla P_x u, \nabla \phi_h)_{L^2(\Omega)} = 0 \), for all \( \phi_h \in S_h \). Moreover, for an arbitrary \( v \in L^2(J) \), we define the \( L^2 \)-projection \( P_t : L^2(J) \rightarrow S^k \subset L^2(J) \) by \( (v - P_t v, \phi^k)_{L^2(J)} = 0 \), for all \( \phi^k \in S^k \). Also for an arbitrary \( u \in H(Q) \), we define the parabolic-projection \( P^k_h : H(Q) \rightarrow S^k_h \subset H(Q) \) by

\[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (u - P^k_h u), \phi_h \right) + (\nabla u - \nabla P^k_h u, \nabla \phi_h) = 0, \]

\[ \forall \phi_h \in S^k_h. \]

Now for each \( \psi \in L^2(J) \), let \( u \) be a solution of the following basic parabolic problem

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u = \psi \quad (x, t) \in Q,
\]

\[
u(x, 0) = 0 \quad x \in \Omega,
\]

\[
u(x, t) = 0 \quad (x, t) \in \partial \Omega \times J.
\]

And we denote \( u \equiv \Delta^{-1}_t \psi \). Then notice that \( P^k_h u \) satisfies the following weak form in \( S^k_h \), which implies that \( P^k_h u \) coincides with the usual finite element approximation of the problem (1.2),

\[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} P^k_h u, \phi_h \right) + (\nabla P^k_h u, \nabla \phi_h) = (\psi, \phi_h), \]

\[ \forall \phi_h \in S^k_h. \]

The following assumption is natural and our starting point[4].

Assumption 1. There exist positive constants \( c_0 \) and \( c_1 \), independent of \( h \) and \( k \) such that, for any \( u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega) \) and \( v \in H^1(J) \),

\[ \| u - P_x u \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq (c_1 h)^2 \| \Delta u \|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \]

\[ \| \nabla u - \nabla P_x u \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq c_1 h \| \Delta u \|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \]

\[ \| v - P_t v \|_{L^2(J)} \leq c_0 k \| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \|_{L^2(J)}. \]
Here, \( h \) and \( k \) correspond to the maximum mesh size in space and time directions, respectively.

2. CONSTRUCTIVE A PRIORI ERROR ESTIMATES

In this section, suppose that \( u \) is a solution of (1.2). Then note that \( u \in H(Q) \cap L^2(J; H^2(\Omega)) \).

**Lemma 1.** [1] For an arbitrary \( \varphi_h \in S_h^k \), we have
\[
\|u - P_h^k u\|_X \leq 2 \| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (u - P_h^k u) \| + \| \nabla u - \nabla \varphi_h \|^2.
\]

**Lemma 2.** We have the following estimates.
\[
\|u - P_t P_x u\| \leq C_0(h^2, k) \| \psi \|,
\]
\[
\|\nabla u - \nabla P_t P_x u\| \leq C_1(h, \sqrt{E}) \| \psi \|,\]
where \( C_0(h^2, k) := \sqrt{4(c_1 h)^2 + (c_0 k)^2} \) and \( C_1(h, \sqrt{E}) := \sqrt{4(c_1 h)^2 + 2c_0 k} \).

**Proof.** By simple computations and Assumption 1, it implies that
\[
\|u - P_t P_x u\|^2 = \|u - P_t u + P_t (u - P_x u)\|^2 \leq \|u - P_t u\|^2 + \|P_t (u - P_x u)\|^2 \leq (c_0 k)^2 \| \nabla u \|^2 + \|u - P_x u\|^2.
\]
Hence using inequalities \( \| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u \| \leq \| \psi \| \) and \( \| \Delta u \| \leq 2 \| \psi \| \), we can obtain
\[
\|u - P_t P_x u\|^2 \leq (c_0 k)^2 \| \nabla u \|^2 + \|u - P_x u\|^2,
\]
\[
\|\nabla u - \nabla P_t P_x u\|^2 \leq (c_0 k)^2 \| \nabla u \|^2 - (u - P_t u, \Delta u).
\]
Therefore, this proof is completed.

Using Lemmas 1 and 2, we obtain the following constructive a priori error estimation.

**Theorem 2.** The following estimates hold true.
\[
\|u - P_h^k u\|_X \leq C(h, \sqrt{E}) \| \psi \|,
\]
where
\[
C(h, \sqrt{E}) := \sqrt{2C_0(h^2, k)(1 + \sigma) + C_1(h, \sqrt{E})^2}
\]
and \( \sigma > 0 \) is a constant satisfying \( \| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_h^k u \| \leq \sigma \| \psi \| \). Note that \( \sigma \) can be numerically determined by solving some matrix eigenvalue problems.

3. NORM OF THE LINEARIZED INVERSE OPERATOR

In order to formulate a verification algorithm by using an infinite dimensional Newton-like method, we need the norm estimation for the linearized inverse operator of the original nonlinear parabolic problems. First, we consider the solvability of the linear parabolic problem of the form
\[
Lu := \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u - \Delta u + b \cdot \nabla u + cu = g \quad (x, t) \in Q,
\]
where \( g \in L^2(Q) \). We assume that \( b \in L^\infty(J; W^1_\infty(\Omega))^n \), \( c \in L^\infty(Q) \). It is well-known that the operator \( L \) defined by (3.1) is invertible. Thus we show a numerical method to estimate the norm for \( L^{-1} \) in the below.

Now according to the usual verification principle, e.g., [2]-[3], we formulate a sufficient condition for which the equation (3.1) has a unique solution. As the preliminary, letting
\[
a_h(v_h, w_h) := \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v_h, w_h \rangle + \langle \nabla v_h, \nabla w_h \rangle,
\]
for \( v_h, w_h \in S_h^k \), we define the matrices \( G = (G_{i,j}) \), \( L = (L_{i,j}) \) by : for \( 1 \leq i, j \leq N \)
\[
\begin{align*}
G_{i,j} &= a_h(\varphi_j, \varphi_i) + (b \cdot \nabla \varphi_j, \varphi_i) + (c \varphi_j, \varphi_i),
L_{i,j} &= (\nabla \varphi_j, \nabla \varphi_i),
\end{align*}
\]
Let \( D^2 \) and \( L^2 \) be lower triangular matrices satisfying the Cholesky decomposition: \( D = D^2 D^2 \) and \( L = L^2 L^2 \), respectively. And we denote the matrix norm by \( \| \cdot \|_E \) induced from the Euclidean norm \( \cdot \|_E \) in \( R^N \). Also we define the following constants:
\[
K_b := \| b \|_E \| L^\infty(Q) \|, \quad K_c := \| c \|_E \| L^\infty(Q) \|.
\]
where \( \| \cdot \|_E \) means \( L^\infty \)-norm on \( Q \). Here, e.g. for \( N = 2, b \|_E = \sqrt{b_1(x, t)^2 + b_2(x, t)^2} \). Let \( c_p > 0 \) be a Poincaré constant such that \( \| \phi \| \leq c_p \| \phi \|_X \) for each \( \phi \in H_0(Q) \). Then we have the following main result of this paper.

**Theorem 3.** Let \( \gamma \equiv C(h, \sqrt{E}) \tau(M\tau + 1) \), where \( M \equiv \|D^2 G^{-1}L^2\|_E \) and \( \tau \equiv K_b + c_p K_c \). If \( \gamma < 1 \) then for any \( g \in L^2(Q) \), a unique solution \( u \in H_0(Q) \) of the equation \( Lu = g \) satisfies
\[
\| u \|_X \leq M \| g \|,
\]
where \( M \equiv \left( M + C(h, \sqrt{E})(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2) \right) \) and
\[
\kappa_1 := \frac{1}{1 - \gamma}(M\tau + 1), \quad \kappa_2 := MT_k \kappa_1.
\]

**Proof.** Let \( \psi := \Delta^{-1} g \in H(Q) \cap L^2(J; H^2(\Omega)) \). Then we can rewrite the equation \( Lu = g \) as \( u = Au + \psi \), where the compact operator \( A : H_0(Q) \to H_0(Q) \) is defined by
Au := −Δ_h^{-1}(b \cdot \nabla u + cu)$. As in [3], we decompose the equation $u = Au + \psi$ as

$$P_h^k u = P_h^k Au + P_h^k \psi,$$

$$(I - P_h^k) u = (I - P_h^k) Au + (I - P_h^k) \psi,$$

where $I$ implies the identity map on $H_0(Q)$. Here we define two operators by

$$N_h u \equiv P_h^k u - [I - A]_{S_h^k}^{-1}(I - P_h^k) Au + [I - A]_{S_h^k}^{-1} \psi,$$

$$Tu \equiv N_h u + (I - P_h^k) Au + (I - P_h^k) \psi,$$

respectively, where $[I - A]_{S_h^k}^{-1}$ means the inverse of $P_h^k(I - A)|_{S_h^k} : S_h^k \rightarrow S_h^k$. The existence of the operator $[I - A]_{S_h^k}^{-1}$ can be verified by some guaranteed numerical computations in computer. Then the equation $u = Au + \psi$ is equivalent to $u = Tu$. Setting $u_* := (I - P_h^k) u$, we have

$$N_h u = P_h^k u - [I - A]_{S_h^k}^{-1} P_h^k (u - Au) + [I - A]_{S_h^k}^{-1} P_h^k \psi,$$

(3.2) $$= [I - A]_{S_h^k}^{-1} P_h^k (Au_* + [I - A]_{S_h^k}^{-1} P_h^k \psi).$$

Since $P_h^k Au_* = -P_h^k \Delta_h^{-1}(b \cdot \nabla u_* + cu_*) \in S_h^k$, the equation (3.2) implies that

$$a_h(N_h u, \varphi_h) + (b \cdot \nabla N_h u + c N_h u, \varphi_h)$$

$$= a_h(P_h^k Au_* + P_h^k \psi, \varphi_h)$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (Au_* + \psi) - \Delta(Au_* + \psi), \varphi_h)$$

$$= (-b \cdot \nabla u_* + cu_* + g, \varphi_h)$$

$$= (\varphi, \varphi)$$

$$= (P_h^k \varphi, \varphi_h),$$

for all $\varphi_h \in S_h^k$, where $\varphi := -b \cdot \nabla u_* - cu_* + g \in L^2(J; L^2(\Omega))$ and $P_h : L^2(Q) \rightarrow S_h^k$ is the $L^2$-projection such that $(\varphi - P_h \varphi, \phi_h) = 0$ for all $\phi_h \in S_h^k$. Note that $\|P_h^k \varphi\| \leq \|\varphi\|$. Now denoting

$$N_h u := \sum_{j=1}^N w_j \phi_j \quad \text{and} \quad P_h \varphi := \sum_{j=1}^N v_j \phi_j,$$

for the basis $\{\phi_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq N}$ of $S_h^k$, we have a matrix equation of the form

$$G \vec{u} = L \vec{v}.$$

Here $\vec{u} = (w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_N)^T$ and $\vec{v} = (v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_N)^T$ are coefficient vectors of $N_h u$ and $P_h \varphi$, respectively. Thus it implies that

$$\|N_h u\|_X^2 = \vec{u}^T D \vec{u}$$

$$= \vec{u}^T DG^{-1} L \vec{v}$$

$$\leq \|D \vec{u}\|_E \|DG^{-1} L \vec{v}\|_E$$

$$= \|D \vec{u}\|_E \|DG^{-1} L \vec{v}\|_E$$

$$= \|N_h u\|_X \|DG^{-1} L \vec{v}\|_E \|P_h \varphi\|.$$
Usually, we transform the original parabolic problem (1.1) into the so-called residual equation by using an approximate solution $u_h \in S_h^k \subset H(Q) \cap L^2(J; H^2(\Omega))$ defined by

\begin{equation}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u_h(\cdot, \varphi_h) + (\nabla u_h, \nabla \varphi_h) = (f(u_h; \cdot, t), \varphi_h)
\end{equation}

for $\forall \varphi_h \in S_h^k$.

Setting $w := u - u_h$, concerned problem is reduced to the following residual form

\begin{equation}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} w - \Delta w = f(w + u_h; \cdot, t) - \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_h - \Delta u_h \right) \quad \text{in} \ Q, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \Omega} w(\cdot, t) = 0, \quad \text{in} \ \partial \Omega \times J.
\end{equation}

Hence denoting the Fréchet derivative at $u_h$ by $f'(u_h)$, the Newton-type residual equation for (4.2) is written as:

\begin{equation}
Lw \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial t} w - \Delta w - f'(u_h)w = g(w) \quad (x, t) \in Q, \quad w(\cdot, 0) = 0, \quad w(x, 0) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \Omega} w(\cdot, t) = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \partial \Omega \times J,
\end{equation}

where $g(w) \equiv f(w + u_h; \cdot, t) - \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_h - \Delta u_h \right)$.

Then the equation (4.3) is rewritten as the fixed point form

\begin{equation}
w = F(w) \equiv \mathcal{L}^{-1} g(w)
\end{equation}

We consider the set, which we often refer to as the candidate set, of the form

\begin{equation}
W_{\alpha, \beta} \equiv \{ w \in H(Q) : ||w||_X \leq \alpha, \ ||\frac{\partial}{\partial t} w|| \leq \beta \}.
\end{equation}

Then the Newton-like operator $F : \mathcal{H}_0(Q) \to \mathcal{H}_0(Q)$ becomes compact on $W_{\alpha, \beta}$, and is expected to be a contraction map on some neighborhood of zero.

First for the existential condition of solutions, we need to choose the set $W_{\alpha, \beta}$, which is equivalent to determine positive numbers $\alpha$ and $\beta$, satisfying the following criterion based on Schauder’s fixed point theorem:

\begin{equation}
F(W_{\alpha, \beta}) \subset W_{\alpha, \beta}.
\end{equation}

Next for the proof of local uniqueness within $W_{\alpha, \beta}$, the following contraction property is needed on the same set $W_{\alpha, \beta}$ in (4.4):

\begin{equation}
\|F(w_1) - F(w_2)\|_{\mathcal{H}(Q)} \leq \lambda ||w_1 - w_2||_{\mathcal{H}(Q)}, \forall w_1, w_2 \in W_{\alpha, \beta},
\end{equation}

for some constant $0 < \lambda < 1$. Notice that, in the above case, Schauder’s fixed point theorem can be replaced by Banach’s fixed point theorem.

For (4.4), by using the same constant $\mathcal{M}$ in the theorem 3, a sufficient condition can be written as

\begin{align*}
\sup_{w \in W_{\alpha, \beta}} \|F(w)\|_X &\leq \mathcal{M} \sup_{w \in W_{\alpha, \beta}} \|g(w)\| < \alpha, \\
\sup_{w \in W_{\alpha, \beta}} \|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} F(w)\| &\leq \sup_{w \in W_{\alpha, \beta}} \|g(w) + f'(u_h)F(w)\| \\
&\leq N \sup_{w \in W_{\alpha, \beta}} \|g(w)\| < \beta,
\end{align*}

where $N \equiv 1+M\tau$. Here, we assumed the equality $f'(u_h)\phi = -b \cdot \nabla \phi - c \phi$ holds for the coefficient functions $b$ and $c$ in (3.1).

On the other hand, for the verification of local uniqueness condition (4.5) on $W_{\alpha, \beta}$, in general, we use the following deformation:

\begin{equation}
g(w_1) - g(w_2) = \Phi(w_1, w_2)(w_1 - w_2),
\end{equation}

where $\Phi(w_1, w_2)$ denotes a function in $w_1$ and $w_2$, for example, if $g(w) = w^2$, then $\Phi(w_1, w_2) = w_1 + w_2$. Therefore, the condition (4.5) reduces to find a constant $0 < \lambda < 1$ satisfying the inequalities of the form

\begin{align*}
\mathcal{M}\|\Phi(w_1, w_2)(w_1 - w_2)\| &\leq \lambda \|w_1 - w_2\|_X, \\
N\|\Phi(w_1, w_2)(w_1 - w_2)\| &\leq \lambda \|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(w_1 - w_2)\|,
\end{align*}

for all $w_1, w_2 \in W_{\alpha, \beta}$.

Concluding remarks: We derived a constructive a priori error estimates for the finite element approximation defined on the whole domain of space and time of the basic linear parabolic problems. By using this result, we presented a verification principle based on a Newton-like method for the solutions of nonlinear parabolic problems. In general, some constants included in the error estimates seem to be not necessarily effective when the time interval $J$ is large. Therefore, in order to apply the method for more realistic problem than the prototype example, e.g., in [1], we would need to develop a technique based on the step by step method in time.
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