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Abstract

The unitary group of the hyperbolic hermitian space of dimension two over a quaternion division algebra over a number field is a non-quasisplit inner form of $Sp(4)$, and does not have a parabolic subgroup corresponding to the Klingenberg parabolic subgroup. However, it has CAP representations with respect to the Klingenberg parabolic subgroup. We construct them by using the theta lifting from the unitary groups of one-dimensional (-1)-hermitian spaces and estimate their multiplicities in the discrete spectrum. In many cases, their multiplicities become bigger than 1.

1 Introduction

The purposes of this paper are, for an inner form $G$ of $Sp(4)$ defined over a number field $k$,

1. construction of a certain class of non-tempered automorphic representations and evaluation (of lower bounds) of their multiplicities in the discrete spectrum, and

2. the description of the multiplicities expected from the evaluation using the formulation of the Arthur’s multiplicity conjecture.

According to the Arthur’s conjecture [Art89], for any irreducible non-tempered automorphic representation $\pi$, there exists an $A$-parameter $\psi : \mathcal{L}_k \times SL(2, C) \to \mathcal{G} = SO(5, C)$, where $\mathcal{L}_k$ is the hypothetical Langlands group of $k$, satisfying $\psi|_{SL(2, C)} \neq 1$ such that $\pi$ is expressed as an element of its global $A$-packet. When $\pi$ is isomorphic to the restricted tensor product $\bigotimes_v \pi_v$ of local irreducible representations $\pi_v$, each $\pi_v$ is also expressed as an element of the local $A$-packet of the local $A$-parameter $\psi_v$.
obtained by $\psi$. Since $Sp(4)$ and $G$ share the set of $A$-parameters there should exist the global and local $A$-packets for $Sp(4)$ associated to $\psi$ too. At this time, at almost all place of $k$, the local $A$-packets of $Sp(4)$ and $G$ coincide.

For a general reductive group, an irreducible representation appearing in the residual spectrum is a typical example of non-tempered automorphic representation. The residual spectrum is the subspace of the space of the $L^2$-automorphic forms generated by the non-cuspidal irreducible automorphic representations appearing in the discrete spectrum. The irreducible decomposition of the residual spectrum for $Sp(4)$ is completely determined [Kim95]. In the case where $k$ is totally real, part of the irreducible representations appearing in the result of [Kim95] can be rewritten by representations given by theta lifting [KN94]. For comparison with the case of $G$ we quote the latter here.

**Theorem 1.1** ([KN94]). Let $k$ be totally real. An irreducible representation appearing in the residual spectrum for $Sp(4)$ is one of the following irreducible representations.

1. The trivial representation $1_{Sp(4)}$ of $Sp(4, A)$. Here $A = A_k$ denotes the adele ring of $k$.

2. The theta lift $R(V)$ from the trivial representation of the orthogonal group $O(V, A)$ of a 2-dimensional non-hyperbolic quadratic space $V$ over $k$.

3. The unique irreducible quotient of $\text{Ind}_{Sp(4, A)}^{Sp(4, A)}(\sigma | \det|_A^{1/2})$ for an irreducible self-dual cuspidal representation $\sigma$ of $GL(2, A)$ whose standard $L$-functions $L(s, \pi)$ do not vanish at $s = 1/2$.

4. The unique irreducible quotient of $\text{Ind}_{P_K(A)}^{Sp(2, A)}(\omega_{k'/k} | A \otimes \pi)$ for a quadratic character $\omega_{k'/k}$, which is associated to a non-trivial quadratic extension $k'$ of $k$, and an irreducible unitary representation $\pi$ of $SL(2, A)$ such that

$$\sigma \subset \pi(\Omega)|_{SL(2, A)}$$

for some character $\Omega$ of $A_{k'}^\times/k_{k'}^\times$ not isomorphic to its conjugate. Here $\pi(\Omega)$ is the automorphic representation of $GL(2, A)$ given in [LL79, Prop. 6.5] and [GJ78, p.491].

Here $P_S$ and $P_K$ are the Siegel and Klingen parabolic subgroups of $Sp(4)$, respectively. The respective Levi factors $M_S$ and $M_K$ satisfy that $M_S \simeq GL(2)$ and $M_K \simeq G_m \times SL(2)$. Each irreducible representation in the above appears with multiplicity one in the discrete spectrum.

In this paper, we treat only the case where $G$ is expressed by the unitary group of the 2-dimensional hyperbolic hermitian space over a quaternion division algebra $D$ over $k$. In this case, as for the irreducible decomposition of the residual spectrum the following is obtained.
Theorem 1.2 ([Yas07]). Let $k$ be totally real. An irreducible representation appearing in the residual spectrum for $G$ is one of the following irreducible representations.

1. The trivial representation $1_G$ of $G(A)$.

2. The theta lift $R(V)$ from the trivial representation of the group $U(V)(A)$ of adele points of the unitary group of a (-1)-hermitian (right) $D$-space $V$ of dimension one.

3. The unique irreducible quotient of $\text{Ind}_{G}^{G(A)}(\rho_{D})^{1/2}$ for an irreducible self-dual cuspidal representation $\rho$ of $D^\times(A)$ whose standard $L$-functions $L(s, \pi)$ do not vanish at $s = 1/2$.

Here $P_S$ is the Siegel parabolic subgroup of $G$, where its Levi factor $M_S$ is isomorphic to $D^\times$, and $\nu_D$ the reduced norm of $D$. In the case of (1) and (3), the multiplicity of each representation is one. In the case of (2), the multiplicity of each representation is $2^{S_D - 2}$ where $S_D$ is the set of places of $k$ at which $D$ is ramified.

When comparing the irreducible decomposition of the residual spectra of $Sp(4)$ and $G$, it is noticed that similar forms of representations appear. However there are no representations for $G$ corresponding to those in Theorem 1.1 (4) for $Sp(4)$. This is because there is no proper parabolic subgroup of $G$ over $k$ containing the correspondence of the Klingen parabolic subgroup of $Sp(4)$ by an inner twist. Nevertheless, the representations in Theorem 1.1 (4) must be expressed as elements of the $A$-packets of some $A$-parameters, and there should exist also the $A$-packets for $G$ of these $A$-parameters. Therefore, if they exist, they consist of cuspidal representations of $G(A)$, which are expressed in the forms defined as follows.

Definition 1.3. Let $\pi \simeq \bigotimes_v \pi_v$ be an irreducible cuspidal representation of $G(A)$. We say that $\pi$ is a CAP representation with respect to $P_K$ if there exist an irreducible cuspidal representation $\rho \simeq \bigotimes_v \rho_v$ of $SL(2, A)$ and a character $\omega = \prod_v \omega_v$ of $A^\times / k^\times$ such that for almost all $v$, $\pi_v$ is isomorphic to a composition factor of $\text{Ind}_{P_K(k_v)}^{Sp(2, k_v)}(\omega_v : |_v \otimes \sigma_v)$.

Remark that because $G$ is isomorphic to $Sp(4)$ over $k_v$ for almost all $v$, $P_K$ is regarded as a subgroup over $k_v$ of $G$ for such $v$, and the above definition makes sense.

We make use of theta lifting to construct such CAP representations. The theta correspondences from $O(2)$ to $Sp(4)$ become automorphic representations appearing in Theorem 1.1 (4). Therefore, the target representations are constructed by the theta lifting from the unitary group of a skew-hermitian space over $D$ of dimension one to $G$, which is an inner form version of the theta lifting from $O(2)$ to $Sp(4)$. In fact, writing $U_0(V)$ for the connected component of the unit of the unitary group.
$U(V)$ of a skew-hermitian space $V$ over $D$ of dimension one, a non-zero irreducible automorphic representation $\theta(V, \chi, S)$ of $G$ can be defined for an irreducible automorphic representation (character) of $U_0(V)$ and a set $S$ of places of $k$ which consists of finite elements and satisfies a certain condition (Theorem 6.1). As special cases, the representations in Theorem 1.2 (2) (the case that $\chi = 1, S = \emptyset$) and the inner form version of cuspidal representations constructed in [HPS79] (the case that $\chi = 1, S \neq \emptyset$) can be dealt with. As for the multiplicity $m(\theta(V, \chi, S))$ of $\theta(V, \chi, S)$ in the discrete spectrum, we have the following estimate.

**Theorem 1.4.**

$$m(\theta(V, \chi, S)) \geq \begin{cases} 2^{\sharp(S \cap S_D)} - 1 & S_D \not\subset S_\chi, S_D \cap S_\chi \neq \emptyset, \\ 2^{\sharp S_D - 2} & S_D \subset S_\chi, \\ 1 & S_D \cap S_\chi = \emptyset, \end{cases}$$

where $S_\chi = \{ v \mid \chi^2_v = 1 \}$.

This estimate is obtained by the failure of the Hasse’s principle for skew-hermitian spaces over $D$. In view of the result of the multiplicities of representations in Theorem 1.2 (2), it is expected that the above inequality sign is exchanged for the equal one. If the equality is satisfied then the value in the right hand side should be described by the formulation in the Arthur’s multiplicity conjecture. Therefore, under this assumption the author inspected how local $A$-packets, $S$-groups and pairings between them, etc. should be described in the formulation. As a consequence, it turns out that they can be described as they satisfy some necessary conditions ($\S$ 7).

## 2 Inner form $G$ of $Sp(4)$

Let $k$ be a number field, and $A = A_k$ its adele ring. We write $A_\infty, A_f$ for the infinite and finite components of $A$, respectively. $|\cdot|_A$ denotes the idele norm of $A^\times$. For any place $v$ of $k$, we write $k_v$ for the completion of $k$ at $v$ and $|\cdot|_v$ for the $v$-adic norm. If $v$ is non-archimedean, $O_v$ denotes the maximal compact subring of $k_v$.

$k$-group $Sp(2)$ will be realized by

$$Sp(2) = \left\{ g \in GL(4) \mid g \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} g^t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$  

Fix a minimal $k$-parabolic subgroup $P_0$ of $Sp(4)$ by

$$P_0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \end{pmatrix} \in Sp(4) \right\},$$

and a Levi factor $M_0$ of $P_0$ consisting of diagonal matrices. The unipotent radical of $P_0$ is denoted by $U_0$. We define the Siegel parabolic subgroup $P_S = M_S U_S$ and
the Klingen parabolic subgroup $P_K = M_K U_K$ of $Sp(4)$ as

$$M_S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1}a \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in GL(2) \right\},$$

$$U_S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid b \in \text{Sym}(2) \right\},$$

and

$$M_K = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} t \\ a \\ t^{-1} \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{pmatrix} \mid t \in \mathbb{G}_m, \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2) \right\},$$

$$U_K = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ a \\ b \\ c \\ 1 \\ -a \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{G}_a \right\}.$$

Let $R$ be a quaternion algebra over a local or global field $F$. We write $\nu_R$, $\tau_R$ and $*$ for the reduced norm, the reduced trace and the main involution of $R$, respectively. We write $R_\mathbb{R} = \{ x \in R \mid \tau_R(x) = 0 \}$. When $F = k$, we write $S_R$ for the set of places $v$ of $k$ at which $R$ is ramified. This set has finite and even elements. $M(n, A)$ denotes the algebra of all $n \times n$-matrices over a ring $A$. For $a = (a_{i,j}) \in M(n, R)$, write $\tau a = (^{*}a_{j,i})$. Let $D$ be a quaternion division algebra over $k$. On $W = D^{\oplus 2}$ viewed as a left $D$-module, a hyperbolic $(D, *)$-hermitian form $h_W$ is defined by

$$h_W((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)) = (x_1, x_2) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} *y_1 \\ *y_2 \end{pmatrix} (\forall x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in D).$$  \quad (2.1)$$

Its unitary group $G = G(W, h_W)$ is a $k$-group which associates $G(A) = \left\{ g \in GL(2, R \otimes_k A) \mid g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tau g = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$

to each (abelian) $k$-algebra $A$.

There is a $p, q \in k^\times$ such that $D \simeq (p, q)_k$ [Sch85, p.75]. Then $G$ and $Sp(4)$ are isomorphic over the quadratic extension $K = k(\sqrt{p})$ of $k$ and moreover $G$ is an inner form of $Sp(4)$. Define a $k$-parabolic subgroup $P_S = M_S U_S$ of $G$ as

$$M_S = \left\{ m(x) := \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid x \in D^\times \right\},$$

$$U_S = \left\{ u(y) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & y \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid y \in D_- \right\}.$$

Via an inner twist this parabolic subgroup coincides with the Siegel parabolic subgroup of $Sp(4)$. Therefore we use the same notation $P_S$ as the case of $Sp(4)$. The character $M_S \ni m(x) \mapsto \nu_D(x) \in \mathbb{G}_m$ is again denoted by $\nu_D$. $P_S$ is a minimal and maximal proper parabolic subgroup of $G$ defined over $k$.  
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The $k$-split component of the center of $M_S$ is
\[ A_S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid t \in G_m \right\}. \]
Let $W_G$ denote the Weyl group of $G$, which consists of two elements. A representative of the non-trivial element of $W_G$ is chosen by
\[ w_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in G(k). \]
If $v \notin S_D$, $G(k_v)$ can be identified with $Sp(4, k_v)$. For every place $v$ of $k$, we fix a maximal compact subgroup $K_v$ of $G(k_v)$ and a Haar measure $dg_v$ such that
\[ K_v = \begin{cases} 
Sp(4, \mathcal{O}_v) & \text{for a non-archimedean } v \notin S_D, \\
Sp(4, \mathbb{R}) \cap O(4) \simeq U(2) & \text{for a real } v \notin S_D, \\
Sp(1, 1) \cap Sp(2) \simeq Sp(1) \times Sp(1) & \text{for a real } v \in S_D, \\
Sp(4, \mathbb{C}) \cap U(4) \simeq Sp(2) & \text{for a complex } v \notin S_D,
\end{cases} \]
and the volume of $K_v$ with respect to $dg_v$ is equal to 1 for almost all non-archimedean $v$. We define a maximal compact subgroup $K$ of $G(A)$ by $\prod_v K_v$ and a Haar measure $dg$ of $G(A)$ by $\prod_v dg_v$.

3 Construction of automorphic forms of $G$

3.1 Automorphic forms of the unitary group of a (-1)-hermitian space

Fix a non-zero $\eta_0 \in D_-$. Define a non-degenerate (-1)-hermitian right space $(V, h_V)$ over $D$ as $V = D$ and
\[ h_V(x_1, x_2) = ^*x_1 \cdot \eta_0 \cdot x_2 \quad (x_1, x_2 \in D). \]
$G(V)$ and $G_0(V)$ denote the unitary group and the special unitary group of $V$ defined over $k$, respectively. When emphasizing $V$ as a space of $k$-valued points, it will be written by $V_k$. Also $V_v$ stands for the completion of $V$ at a place $v$ and $V_A = V \otimes_k A$. In particular, we will often use the notation $G(V_k), G(V_v), G(V_A)$ instead of $G(V)(k), G(V)(k_v), G(V)(A)$, respectively. Similar notation is also used for $G_0(V)$. It is known that $G(V_k) = G_0(V_k)$ and $G(V_v) = G_0(V_v)$ for any $v \in S_D$. Set $k' = k(\eta)$, which is a quadratic extension of $k$, and define a quadratic space $(T, b_T)$ over $k$ as $T = k'$ and $b_T = N_{k'/k}$ where $N_{k'/k}$ is the norm of $k'/k$. We may make the following identification:
\[ G(V_v) = \begin{cases} 
O(T_v) & v \notin S_D, \\
SO(T_v) & v \in S_D,
\end{cases} \]
\[ G_0(V_v) = SO(T_v), \]
\[ G(V_k) = G_0(V_k) = SO(T_k). \]
Here $T_v$ is the completion of $T$ at $v$ and $SO(T_k) = SO(T)(k)$. $T_v$ is isotropic if and only if $\eta^2(\in k^\times)$ is a quadratic residue in $k_v$, and in this case identify $O(T_v) = (1, 1; k_v)$. A maximal compact subgroup $L_v$ of $G(V_v)$ is defined as

$$L_v = \begin{cases} 
O(T_v) & v \not\in S_D \text{ and } T_v \text{ is anisotropic}, \\
O(1, 1; O_v) & v \not\in S_D \text{ and } T_v \text{ is isotropic}, \\
SO(T_v) & v \in S_D,
\end{cases}$$

Remark that if $v \in S_D$ then $T_v$ is always anisotropic. A measure $dh_v$ on $G(V_v)$ is chosen by the Haar measure such that the volume of $L_v$ is 1, and a measure $dh$ on $G(V_A)$ is defined by the product of $dh_v$.

Fix a $\gamma_0 \in O(T_k) \setminus SO(T_k)$, which always holds $\gamma_0^2 = 1$. Let $\chi = \prod_v \chi_v$ be an unitary character of $G_0(V_k) \setminus G_0(V_A)$. Consider the induced representation of $G(V_v)$ from $\chi_v$. In the case of $v \in S_D$, $\chi_v$ is also recognized as a representation of $G(V_v)$ because $G(V_v) = G_0(V_v)$. In the case of $v \not\in S_D$, if $\chi_v^2 \not= 1$ then $Ind_{G_0(V_v)}^{G(V_v)} \chi_v$ is irreducible, which is denoted by $\tilde{\chi}_v^\pm$, and if $\chi_v^2 = 1$ then

$$Ind_{G_0(V_v)}^{G(V_v)} \chi_v \simeq \tilde{\chi}_v^+ \oplus \tilde{\chi}_v^-.$$

(3.1)

Here $\tilde{\chi}_v^+, \tilde{\chi}_v^-$ are characters of $G(V_v)$ characterized by $\tilde{\chi}_v^\pm(\gamma_0) = \pm 1$. Write $S_\chi$ for the set of places $v$ of $k$ satisfying $\chi_v^2 = 1$. If $\chi_v$ is unramified, $f_{0,v} \in \tilde{\chi}_+$ is defined by

$$\phi_{0,v}(h) = \begin{cases} 
1 & h \in L_v \\
0 & h \not\in L_v
\end{cases}$$

Let $S$ be a subset of $S_\chi \cap S_D^0$ with finite number of elements. An irreducible representation $\sigma(V, \chi, S)$ of $G(V_A)$ is defined by the restricted tensor product,

$$(\bigotimes_{v \in S} \tilde{\chi}_v^-) \otimes (\bigotimes_{v \not\in S} \tilde{\chi}_v^+)$$

with respect to $\phi_{0,v}$. In particular, if $\chi^2 = 1$ then $\sigma(V, \chi, S)$ becomes a character of $G(V_A)$. The $v$-component of $\sigma(V, \chi, S)$ for a place $v$ is denoted by $\sigma_v(V, \chi, S)$. Since $G(V_k) = G_0(V_k)$, we can define an injective intertwining operator characterized by

$$\sigma(V, \chi, S) \ni \bigotimes_v \phi_v \mapsto \prod_v \phi_v \in A(G(V_k) \setminus G(V_A)).$$

Here $A(G(V_k) \setminus G(V_A))$ is the space of automorphic forms on $G(V_k) \setminus G(V_A)$ ([MW95] §1.2.17). $\sigma(V, \chi, S)$ is identified with the image of this intertwining operator. Conversely, any irreducible $G(V_A)$-subspace of $A(G(V_k) \setminus G(V_A))$ is expressed by the form $\sigma(V, \chi, S)$ for some $\chi$ and $S$ because the restriction of an automorphic form on $G(V_A)$ to $G_0(V_A)$ is also automorphic form.

### 3.2 Theta correspondence

Let $\psi$ be a non-trivial character of $A/k$, and for any place $v$ of $k$, the $v$-component of $\psi$ is denoted by $\psi_v$. For a vector space $X$ over $k_v$, $S(X)$ denotes the space of the Schwartz-Bruhat functions on $X$. The Weil representation $\omega_{\psi_v, V_v}$ of $G(V_v) \times G(k_v)$ with respect to $\psi_v$ is defined on $S(V_v)$ as in [Yas07]. In particular, for $v \notin S_D$,
\( \omega_{\psi_v, V_v} \) is identified with the Weil representation \( \omega_{\psi_v, T_{V_v}} \) of \( O(T_{v}) \times Sp(4, k_v) \) defined on \( S(T_{v})_G \) where \( T_{V_v} \) is the 2-dimensional quadratic space with the quadratic form given by the symmetric matrix

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\gamma & -\alpha \\
-\alpha & -\beta
\end{pmatrix}
\]

when \( \eta_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & -\alpha \end{pmatrix} \in M(2, k_v) \).

The explicit formula of \( \omega_{\psi_v, V_v} \) is described as follows: For \( f \in S(V_v), \ x \in V_v \),

1. \( \omega_{\psi_v, V_v}(h, 1)f(x) = f(h^{-1}x), \ (h \in G(V_v)) \),
2. \( \omega_{\psi_v, V_v}(1, m(a))f(x) = \zeta_V(\nu(a))|\nu_{D_v}(a)|_v f(xa), \ (a \in D^\times(k_v)) \),
3. \( \omega_{\psi_v, V_v}(1, u(b))f(x) = \psi_v(\frac{1}{4}\tau_{D_v}(bh_V(x, x)))f(x), \ (b \in D_-(k_v)) \),
4. \( \omega_{\psi_v, V_v}(1, w_0)f(x) = (-1, -\det V_v)\kappa(D_v) \mathcal{F}_{V_v} f(-x) \).

Here \((\cdot, \cdot)_v\) is the Hilbert symbol at \( v \), \( \zeta_V \) the quadratic character \((-\det V_v, \cdot)_v\) of \( k_v^\times \), \( \kappa(D_v) = -1 \) if \( v \in S_D^* \) and \( \kappa(D_v) = 1 \) otherwise, and

\[
\mathcal{F}_{V_v} f(x) = \int_{V_v} f(y)\psi(\frac{1}{2}\tau_{D_v} \circ \text{tr}(y, x)_{V_v})d_{V_v}y
\]

where \( d_{V_v}y \) is the self-dual measure with respect to the bilinear form

\[
V_v \times V_v \ni (x, y) \mapsto \psi(\frac{1}{2}\tau_{D_v} \circ \text{tr}(y, x)_{V_v})
\]

For a non-archimedean \( v \notin S_D, f_{0, v} \in S(V_v) \) denotes the characteristic function of \( M(2, \mathcal{O}_v) \subset M(2, k_v) = V_v \). \( S(V_A) \) is defined by the tensor product of \( S(V_v) \) with respect to \( f_{0, v} \), which is identified with a space of functions on \( V_A \). The global Weil representation \( \omega_{\psi, V} \) of \( G(V_A) \times G(A) \) with respect to \( \psi \) is defined on \( S(V_A) \) by the restricted tensor product of the local Weil representations. For \( f \in S(V_A) \), set

\[
\theta(f; h, g) = \sum_{\xi \in V_k} \omega_{\psi, V}(h, g)f(\xi) \ (h \in G(V_A), g \in G(A)),
\]

which converges absolutely and becomes \( G(k) \)-invariant. Since \( G(V_k) \backslash G(V_A) \) is compact, for \( \phi \in \sigma(V, \chi, S) \) and \( f \in S(V_A) \), the integral

\[
\theta(f, \phi)(g) = \int_{G(V_A)\backslash G(V_A)} \theta(f; h, g)\overline{\phi(h)}dh
\]

is defined and converges. Then \( \theta(f, \phi) \) becomes an automorphic form on \( G(A) \). We denote by \( \Theta(V, \chi, S) \) the space generated by \( \theta(f, \phi) \) for all \( \phi \in \sigma(V, \chi, S) \) and \( f \in S(V_A) \).

**Lemma 3.1.** If \( (\chi, S) \neq (1, \theta) \) then \( \theta(f, \phi) \) is cuspidal.
Proof. The constant term $\theta(f, \phi)_{P_S}$ of $\theta(f, \phi)$ along to $P_S$ is calculated as follows.

\[
\theta(f, \phi)_{P_S}(g) = \int_{U_S(k) \backslash U_S(A)} \theta(f, \phi)(ug)du \\
= \int_{U_S(k) \backslash U_S(A)} \int_{G(V_k) \backslash G(V_A)} \sum_{\xi \in V_k} \omega_{\psi,V}(h, ug)f(\xi)\overline{\phi(h)}dhdh \\
= \int_{G(V_k) \backslash G(V_A)} \sum_{\xi \in V_k} \int_{U_S(k) \backslash U_S(A)} \omega_{\psi,V}(h, ug)f(\xi)\overline{\phi(h)}du dh \\
= \int_{G(V_k) \backslash G(V_A)} \sum_{\xi \in V_k} \int_{U_S(k) \backslash U_S(A)} \psi\left(\frac{1}{4}\tau_D(bh,V(\xi,\xi))\right)\omega_{\psi,V}(h, g)f(\xi)\overline{\phi(h)}dbdh \\
= \int_{G(V_k) \backslash G(V_A)} \omega_{\psi,V}(h, g)f(0)\overline{\phi(h)}dh \\
= \omega_{\psi,V}(1, g)f(0) \int_{G(V_k) \backslash G(V_A)} \overline{\phi(h)}dh.
\]

Since $(\chi, S) \neq (1, \emptyset)$, $\phi$ is orthogonal to a constant function. Therefore the last term is zero. \(\square\)

For $\eta \in D_-$, the Fourier coefficient $\mathcal{F}_\eta f$ of an automorphic form $f$ on $G(A)$ is defined by

\[
\mathcal{F}_\eta f(g) = \int_{U_S(k) \backslash U_S(A)} f(ug)\psi_\eta(u)du \quad (g \in G(A)),
\]

where $\psi_\eta\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \psi\left(-\frac{1}{4}\tau_D(b\eta)\right) \quad (b \in D_- (A)).$

Lemma 3.2. Let $\eta \in D_- \backslash \{0\}$.

\[
\mathcal{F}_\eta \theta(f, \phi)(g) = \begin{cases} 
\mathcal{F}_{\eta_0} \theta(f, \phi)(m(\alpha)g) & \text{if } \eta = *\alpha \eta_0 \alpha \text{ for some } \alpha \in D^\times, \\
0 & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

Proof.\[
\mathcal{F}_\eta \theta(f, \phi)(g) = \int_{U_S(k) \backslash U_S(A)} \theta(ug)\psi_\eta(u)du \\
= \int_{U_S(k) \backslash U_S(A)} \int_{G(V_k) \backslash G(V_A)} \sum_{\xi \in V_k} \omega_{\psi,V}(h, ug)f(\xi)\overline{\phi(h)}\psi_\eta(u)dhdh \\
= \int_{G(V_k) \backslash G(V_A)} \int_{D_- (k) \backslash D_- (A)} \sum_{\xi \in V_k} \omega_{\psi,V}(h, g)f(\xi)\overline{\phi(h)}\psi\left(\frac{1}{4}\tau_D(b(h_V(\xi, \xi) - \eta))\right)dbdh \\
= \int_{G(V_k) \backslash G(V_A)} \sum_{h_V(\xi, \xi) = \eta} \omega_{\psi,V}(h, g)f(\xi)\overline{\phi(h)}dh \tag{3.2}
\]
If $\eta$ is not expressed by the form $^*\alpha \eta_0 \alpha$ for some $\alpha \in D^\times$, $\eqref{3.2} = 0$. Assume $\eta = {}^*\alpha \eta_0 \alpha$ for a $\alpha \in D^\times$.

$$\eqref{3.2} = \int_{G(V_k) \backslash G(V_A)} \sum_{h' \in G(V_k)} \omega_{\psi,V}(h,g) f(h'^{-1}\alpha) \phi(h) \, dh$$
$$= \int_{G(V_k) \backslash G(V_A)} \sum_{h' \in G(V_k)} \omega_{\psi,V}(h',h,g) f(\alpha) \phi(h'h) \, dh$$
$$= \int_{G(V_A)} \omega_{\psi,V}(h,g) f(\alpha) \phi(h) \, dh$$
$$= \int_{G(V_A)} \omega_{\psi,V}(h,m(\alpha)g) f(1_D) \phi(h) \, dh \quad \text{(3.3)}$$

In particular, if $\alpha = 1$,

$$F_{\eta_0} \theta(f,\phi)(g) = \int_{G(V_A)} \omega_{\psi,V}(h,g) f(1_D) \phi(h) \, dh.$$

Therefore

$$\eqref{3.3} = F_{\eta_0} \theta(f,\phi)(m(\alpha)g) \quad \text{(3.4)}$$

$U(V,\chi,S)$ denotes the space generated by functions $F_{\eta_0} f$ on $G(A)$ for all $f \in \Theta(V,\chi,S)$. The right regular action $r$ of $G(A)$ defines a representation of $G(A)$ on $U(V,\chi,S)$, and

$F_{\eta_0} : \Theta(V,\chi,S) \ni f \mapsto F_{\eta_0} f \in U(V,\chi,S)$

becomes a surjective intertwining operator. From Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2 and the Fourier inversion formula, $F_{\eta_0}$ is also injective. Therefore we have the following.

**Proposition 3.3.** If $(\chi,S) \neq (1,\emptyset)$ then $\Theta(V,\chi,S)$ is isomorphic to $U(V,\chi,S)$ as a representation of $G(A)$.

Let $v$ be a place and $\sigma_v = \tilde{\chi}_v^\epsilon$ for some $\chi_v$ and $\epsilon = \pm 1$. $U(V_v,\sigma_v)$ is defined by the space generated by functions

$$\lambda_v(f_v,\phi_v) : G(k_v) \ni g_v \mapsto \int_{G(V_v)} \omega_{\psi_v,V_v}(h_v,g_v) f_v(1_D) \phi_v(h_v) \, dh_v \quad \text{(3.5)}$$

for all $f_v \in S(V_v)$ and all $\phi_v \in \tilde{\chi}_v^\epsilon$. Similarly for the global case, a representation of $G(k_v)$ on $U(V_v,\tilde{\chi}_v^\epsilon)$ is defined by the right regular action $r$.

**Lemma 3.4.** (1) $U(V_v,\sigma_v) \neq 0$ for all $v$.

(2) For almost all $v$ and $\sigma_v = \tilde{1}^+ = 1$, $\lambda_v(f_{0,v},\phi_{0,v})$ is $K_v$-invariant and $\lambda_v(f_{0,v},\phi_{0,v})|_{K_v} \equiv 1$. 
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Proof. (1) It suffices to show that there are $f'_v \in \mathcal{S}(V_v)$ and $\phi'_v \in \sigma_v$ such that $\lambda_v(f'_v, \phi'_v)(1) \neq 0$. For a non-zero $\phi'_v$ there is a relatively compact open subset $\mathcal{O}$ of $V_v$ such that
\[
\int_{G(V_v)} ch_{\mathcal{O}}(h_v^{-1})\overline{\phi'_v(h_v)}dh_v \neq 0
\]
where $ch_{\mathcal{O}}$ is the characteristic function of $\mathcal{O}$ defined on $V_v$. If $v$ is non-archimedean we can take $ch_{\mathcal{O}} = f'_v$. If $v$ is archimedean then $f_{\mathcal{O}}$ is approximated by elements in $\mathcal{S}(V_v)$, so that we can choose an appropriate $f'_v$. (2) For any non-archimedean $v \notin S_D$ such that $\psi_v$ is unramified and $v \nmid 2$, $f_{0,v}$ is $K_v$-invariant, and so is $\lambda_v(f_{0,v}, \phi_{0,v})$. Since
\[
\lambda_v(f_{0,v}, \phi_{0,v})(1) = \int_{G(V_v)} \omega_{v_v, v_v}(h_v, g_v) f_{0,v}(1_D)\overline{\phi_{0,v}(h_v)}dh_v
\]
\[
= \int_{G(V_v)} \omega_{v_v, v_v}(1, 1) f_{0,v}(h_v^{-1})\phi_{0,v}(h_v)dh_v
\]
\[
= \int_{L_v} 1 dh_v
\]
\[
= 1,
\]
and $\lambda_v(f_{0,v}, \phi_{0,v})$ is $K_v$-invariant, $\lambda_v(f_{0,v}, \phi_{0,v})|_{K_v} \equiv 1$. □

From the above lemma and (3.3), for $f(x) = \prod_v f_v(x_v) \in \mathcal{S}(V_\mathbf{A})$ and $\phi(h) = \prod_v \phi_v(h_v) \in \sigma(V, \chi, S)$, we have a decomposition,
\[
\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{P}_0}(f, \phi)(g) = \prod_v \lambda_v(f_v, \phi_v)(g_v) \ (g = (g_v) \in G(\mathbf{A})). \quad (3.6)
\]
Therefore the next proposition follows.

Proposition 3.5. $\Theta(V, \chi, S) \neq 0$ and $\Theta(V, \chi, S)$ is isomorphic to the restricted tensor product $\bigotimes_v \mathcal{U}(V_v, \sigma_v(V, \chi, S))$ with respect to $\lambda_v(f_{0,v}, \phi_{0,v})$.

4 Review of Shalika-Tanaka lifting

Here we review the results of [ST69]. Let $(T, q_T)$ be a non-degenerate and non-hyperbolic quadratic space over $k$ of dimension 2 and $\chi = \prod_v \chi_v$ a character of $SO(T_\mathbf{A})$ invariant on $SO(T_k)$. For a place $v$, the Weil representaion $\omega_{\psi_v, T_v}$ of $O(T_v) \times SL(2, k_v)$ on $\mathcal{S}(T_v)$ is defined usually. For $u \in T_v$ such that $q_{T_v}(u) \neq 0$, $f \in \mathcal{S}(T_v)$, put
\[
(P_{\chi_v} f)(u) = \int_{SO(T_v)} f(h^{-1}u)\overline{\chi_v(h)}dh.
\]
This integral converges absolutely and defines a continuous function on the open subset of $T_v$ which consists of $u \in T_v$ satisfying $q_{T_v}(u, u) \neq 0$. $\mathcal{S}(\chi_v, T_v)$ denotes the image of $\mathcal{S}(T_v)$ by $P_{\chi_v}$, which is regarded as a representation of $SL(2, k_v)$ by
the transfer \( r \) of the action of the Weil representation. Fix \( \gamma_0 \in O(T_k) \backslash SO(T_k) \) and write \( S^\pm(T_v) \) for the space consisting of \( f \in S(T_v) \) such that \( f(\gamma_0u) = \pm f(u) \).

Clearly, \( S(T_v) = S^+(T_v) \oplus S^-(T_v) \). Elements \( \phi_v^\pm \) of \( \text{Ind}^{O(T_v)}_{SO(T_v)} \chi_v \) are defined by

\[
\begin{align*}
\phi_v^+(h) &= \chi_v(h) \quad (h \in SO(V_v)), \\
\phi_v^-(\gamma_0) &= \pm 1.
\end{align*}
\]

Then \( \text{Ind}^{O(T_v)}_{SO(T_v)} \chi_v \) is spanned by \( \phi_v^\pm \). For any \( f_+ \in S^+(T_v), f_- \in S^-(T_v), \)

\[
\int_{O(T_v)} f_+(h^{-1}u)\phi_v^-(h)dh = \int_{O(T_v)} f_-(h^{-1}u)\phi_v^+(h)dh = 0. \tag{4.1}
\]

And for \( f = f_+ + f_- \in S(T_v), \)

\[
(P_{\chi_v}f)(u) = \int_{SO(T_v)} f(h^{-1}u)\chi_v(h)dh \\
= \frac{1}{2} \int_{O(T_v)} f_+(h^{-1}u)\phi_v^+(h)dh + \frac{1}{2} \int_{O(T_v)} f_-(h^{-1}u)\phi_v^-(h)dh. \tag{4.2}
\]

Irreducible representations \( \tilde{\chi}_v^\pm \) which appear as subrepresentations of \( \text{Ind}^{O(T_v)}_{SO(T_v)} \chi_v \) are defined as in [3.1]. Fix a \( u_0 \in T_v \) such that \( q_{T_v}(u_0) \neq 0 \). We denote by \( \Theta_v^0(T_v, \tilde{\chi}_v^\pm) (\epsilon = \pm 1) \) the space of functions

\[
SL(2,k_v) \ni g \mapsto \int_{O(T_v)} \omega_{\psi_v,T_v}(h,g)f(u_0)\phi(h)dh
\]

for all \( f \in S(T_v) \) and all \( \phi \in \tilde{\chi}_v^\epsilon \), which is regarded as a representation of \( SL(2,k_v) \) by the right regular action. From (1.1) and (1.2), a surjective intertwining operator,

\[
\Psi : S(\chi_v,T_v) \to \Theta_v^0(T_v, \tilde{\chi}_v^\epsilon)
\]

is defined as follows. If \( \text{Ind}^{O(T_v)}_{SO(T_v)} \chi_v \) is irreducible then \( S(\chi_v,T_v) = \Theta_v^0(T_v, \tilde{\chi}_v^\epsilon) \) and \( \Psi \) is taken by the identity map. If \( \text{Ind}^{O(T_v)}_{SO(T_v)} \chi_v \) is reducible then

\[
\Psi(\tilde{f}) = \left( SL(2,k_v) \ni g \mapsto r(g)\tilde{f}(u_0) + \epsilon \cdot r(g)\tilde{f}(\gamma_0u_0) \right) \quad (\text{for } \tilde{f} \in S(\chi_v,T_v)).
\]

From the representation theory of \( SL(2,k_v) \), the results of [ST69] with respect to \( S(\chi_v,T_v), \) [MVWS77] Chap.3 IV Th.4 and [Paul05] Th.15, the following is obtained.

**Theorem 4.1.** (1) \( \Theta_v^0(T_v, \tilde{\chi}_v^- (= \det)) = 0. \)

(2) \( \Theta_v^0(T_v, \tilde{\chi}_v^\epsilon) \) is non-zero and irreducible for \( \tilde{\chi}_v^\epsilon \neq \tilde{\chi}_v^- \).

(3) If \( T_v \) is hyperbolic then

\[
\Theta_v^0(T_v, \tilde{\chi}_v^\epsilon) \simeq \begin{cases} \text{Ind}^{SL(2,k_v)}_{B \times SL(2,k_v)} \chi_v & \chi_v = 1 \text{ or } \chi_v^2 \neq 1, \\
\text{an irreducible subrepresentation} & \chi_v \neq 1 \text{ and } \chi_v^2 = 1.
\end{cases}
\]
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Here $B_{SL(2)}$ is the Borel subgroup of $SL(2)$ consisting of upper-triangular matrices and $\chi_v$ is also regarded as a character of the subgroup of $SL(2)$ consisting of diagonal matrices in the natural way.

(4) If $T_v$ is non-hyperbolic and $\tilde{\chi}_v = 1$ then $\Theta^0_v(T_v, \tilde{\chi}_v)$ is isomorphic to an irreducible subrepresentation of $\text{Ind}_{B_{SL(2)}^{\mathbb{k}_v}}^{SL(2)}(\zeta_{T_v})$ where $\zeta_{T_v}$ is the quadratic character $(-\det T_v, \cdot)_v$ of $k_v^\times$. Suppose $T_v$ is non-hyperbolic and $\chi_v \neq 1$. If $v$ is non-archimedean, $\Theta^0_v(T_v, \tilde{\chi}_v)$ is supercuspidal. If $v$ is real, $\Theta^0_v(T_v, \tilde{\chi}_v)$ is isomorphic to the discrete series representation $\delta(\varepsilon n)$ with the Harich-Chandra parameter $\varepsilon n$ where $\psi_v = \exp(\lambda \cdot), \lambda = \epsilon \sqrt{-1} |\lambda| \in \sqrt{-1} \mathbb{R}$, $\chi_v = \exp(2\pi n \sqrt{-1} \cdot)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\eta = 1$ if $T_v$ is positive definite, $-1$ otherwise.

For a subset $S \subset S^\chi$ with finite cardinality, an irreducible representation $\sigma(T, \chi, S)$ of $O(T_A)$ is defined as in §5.1. Its component at $v$ is denoted by $\tilde{\chi}^\sigma_v$ for any $v$. An element of $\sigma(T, \chi, S)$ is identified with a function on $SO(T_k) \backslash O(T_A)$ by the correspondence,

$$\sigma(T, \chi, S) \ni \bigotimes_v \phi_v \leftrightarrow \prod_v \phi_v.$$  

Note that for $\phi \in \sigma(T, \chi, S)$, $\phi(h) + \phi(\gamma_0 h)$ becomes an automorphic form on $O(T_A)$. For $\phi(\neq 0) \in \sigma(T, \chi, S)$, we define

$$(P_{\chi, \phi} f)(u) = \int_{O(T_A)} f(h^{-1} u) \overline{\phi(h)} dh \quad (f \in S(T_A), \; u \in T_A).$$

The image $S(T, \chi, S)$ of $S(T_A)$ by $P_{\chi, \phi}$ is determined independently of choice of $\phi$. It is an irreducible representation of $SL(2, \mathbb{A})$ by the right regular action $r$, which is isomorphic to the restricted tensor product

$$\bigotimes_v \Theta^0_v(T, \tilde{\chi}^\sigma_v).$$

For $\tilde{f} \in S(T, \chi, S)$, define a function $I_{\chi, \tilde{f}}$ on $SL(2, \mathbb{A})$ by

$$I_{\chi, \tilde{f}}(g) = \sum_{\xi \in SO(T_k) \backslash (T_k \backslash \{0\})} (r(g) \tilde{f})(\xi) \quad (g \in SL(2, \mathbb{A})).$$

The Weil representation $(\omega^0_{\psi, T}, S(T_A))$ of $O(T_A) \times SL(2, \mathbb{A})$ is defined by the restricted tensor product of $(\omega^0_{\psi, T_v}, S(T_v))$. For $f \in S(T_A)$, set

$$\theta^0(f; h, g) = \sum_{\xi \in T_k \backslash \{0\}} \omega^0_{\psi, T}(h, g)(f(\xi) \quad (h \in O(T_A), g \in SL(2, \mathbb{A}))),$$

$$\theta^0(f, \phi)(g) = \int_{O(T_k) \backslash O(T_A)} \theta^0(f; h, g)(\overline{\phi(h)} + \phi(\gamma_0 h)) dh \quad (\phi \in \sigma(T, \chi, S)).$$

Then $\theta^0(f, \phi)$ becomes a cuspidal automorphic form on $SL(2, \mathbb{A})$. We denote by $\Theta^0(T, \chi, S)$ the space generated by $\theta^0(f, \phi)$ for all $\phi \in \sigma(T, \chi, S)$ and $f \in S(T_A)$, which is a cuspidal representation of $SL(2, \mathbb{A})$.  
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Theorem 4.2 ([ST69]). (1) The following diagram of intertwining operators is commutative.

\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
S(T_A) & \xrightarrow{\theta^0(\cdot,\phi)} & S(T,\chi,S) \\
\downarrow{P_{\chi,\phi}} & \downarrow{\Theta^0(\cdot,\phi)} & \downarrow{\Theta^0(T,\chi,S)} \\
S(T,\chi,S) & \rightarrow & \Theta^0(T,\chi,S)
\end{array} \]

In particular, if \( \Theta^0(T,\chi,S) \) is non-zero then \( \Theta^0(T,\chi,S) \) is isomorphic to \( S(T,\chi,S) \cong \bigotimes_v \Theta^0(T,\chi_v^\epsilon) \) as a representation of \( SL(2,A) \).

(2) For a non-hyperbolic quadratic space \( T \) of dimension 2 and a character \( \chi \) of \( SO(T_k) \setminus SO(T_A) \), there is a subset \( S \) of \( S_\chi \) with finite cardinality such that \( \Theta^0(T,\chi,S) \) is non-zero.

5 Local theory

5.1 Non-archimedean case

Let \( v \) be a non-archimedean place. For an irreducible admissible representation \( \sigma_v \) of \( G(V_v) \), put

\[ N_{\sigma_v} = \bigcap_f \text{Ker} f, \]

where \( f \) runs over \( \text{Hom}_{G(V_v)}(\omega_{\psi_v,V_v},\sigma_v) \). Then there is an unique admissible representation \( \Omega(V_v,\sigma_v) \) of \( G(V_v) \times G(k_v) \) such that

\[ S(V_v)/N_{\sigma_v} \cong \sigma_v \otimes \Omega(V_v,\sigma_v) \]

as a representation of \( G(V_v) \times G(k_v) \) ([MVW87] Chap.2 III 5, Chap.3 IV 4). Let \( \sigma_v = \tilde{\chi}_v^{\epsilon} \) for a character \( \chi_v \) of \( SO(k_v) \) and \( \epsilon = \pm 1 \). \( \text{Ind}_{SO(V_v)}^{O(V_v)} \chi_v \) is unitarizable by an inner product

\[ \langle \phi,\phi' \rangle = \int_{G_0(V_v)\backslash G(V_v)} \phi(h)\tilde{\phi}'(h)dh \quad (\phi,\phi' \in \text{Ind}_{SO(V_v)}^{O(V_v)} \chi_v). \]

If \( \phi_1,\ldots,\phi_l \) form an orthonormal basis of \( \tilde{\chi}_v^{\epsilon} \) with respect to this inner product,

\[ S(V_v) \ni f_v \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^l \phi_i \otimes \lambda_v(f_v,\phi_i) \in \tilde{\chi}_v^{\epsilon} \otimes \mathcal{U}(V_v,\tilde{\chi}_v^{\epsilon}) \]

becomes a surjective intertwining operator. This implies that \( \mathcal{U}(V_v,\tilde{\chi}_v^{\epsilon}) \) is a quotient representation of \( \Omega(V_v,\tilde{\chi}_v^{\epsilon}) \).

Proposition 5.1. If \( V_v \) is anisotropic and either \( v \notin S_D \) and \( \sigma_v = \tilde{1}^{-} = \text{det} \) or \( v \in S_D \) and \( \sigma_v \neq 1 \) then \( \mathcal{U}(V_v,\sigma_v) \) is irreducible and supercuspidal. \( \mathcal{U}(V_v,\sigma_v) \) will be also denoted by \( \theta(V_v,\sigma_v) \).
Proof. Any $\sigma_v$ is supercuspidal and the Howe correspondent $\Omega(V_v, \sigma_v)$ of $\sigma_v$ to $G(k_v)$ becomes the first occurrence in the Witt tower over $W_v$. This follows clearly in the case of $v \in S_D$ and from Theorem 4.1(1) in the case of $v \notin S_D$. From [MVWS7 Chap.3 IV Th.4 1), $\Omega(V_v, \sigma_v)$ is irreducible and supercuspidal. Since $U(V_v, \sigma_v)$ is non-zero and a quotient of $\Omega(V_v, \sigma_v)$, $U(V_v, \sigma_v)$ is also irreducible and supercuspidal. \[\square\]

Let $v \notin S_D$ and $T_v = T_{v'v}$. From the definition of the Weil representation, there are $\xi_1, \xi_2$ in $T_v$ linearly independent such that $U(V_v, \sigma_v)$ is identified with the space $U(T_v, \sigma_v)$ generated by functions

$$\lambda_v(f_v, \phi_v) : Sp(4, k_v) \ni g_v \mapsto \int_{O(T_v)} \omega_{\psi_v, T_v}(h_v, g_v) f_v(\xi_1, \xi_2) \overline{\phi_v(h_v)} dh_v$$

for all $f_v \in \mathcal{S}(T_v^2)$ and all $\phi_v \in \hat{\chi}_v^\epsilon$. We may assume that both $q_{T_v}(\xi_1)$ and $q_{T_v}(\xi_2)$ are non-zero by acting of an element of $M_S(k_v)$. We denote by $U'(T_v, \sigma_v)$ the space generated by functions

$$\lambda'_v(f_v, \phi_v) : Sp(4, k_v) \ni g_v \mapsto \int_{O(T_v)} \omega_{\psi_v, T_v}(h_v, g_v) f_v(0, \xi_2) \overline{\phi_v(h_v)} dh_v$$

for all $f_v \in \mathcal{S}(T_v^2)$ and all $\phi_v \in \hat{\chi}_v^\epsilon$. It is easily checked that $U'(T_v, \sigma_v)$ is a sub-representation of $\text{Ind}^{G(k_v)}_{\text{P}_K(k_v)}(\zeta_{T_v} \cdot \mid_v^{-1} \otimes \Theta^0(T_v, \sigma_v))$ and if $\Theta^0(T_v, \sigma_v)$ is non-zero then so is $U'(T_v, \sigma_v)$. From the explicit formula of the Weil representation, if $\lambda_v(f_v, \phi_v)$ is zero then so is $\lambda'_v(f_v, \phi_v)$. This induces a surjective intertwining operator $\Psi_v : U(T_v, \sigma_v) \to U'(T_v, \sigma_v)$ characterized by

$$\Psi_v(\lambda_v(f_v, \phi_v)) = \lambda'_v(f_v, \phi_v).$$

For a smooth representation $\pi$ of $G(k_v)$ (or $G(V_v) \times G(k_v)$) and $P = P_0, P_S, P_K$, $\pi_P$ denotes the normalized Jacquet module with respect to $P$. If $v \notin S_D$ and $T_v$ is anisotropic we obtain directly

$$(\omega_{\psi_v, T_v})_{P_K} \simeq \zeta_{T_v} \cdot \mid_v^{-1} \otimes \omega_{\psi_v, T_v}^0$$

as a $O(T_v) \times M_K(k_v)$-module where $\zeta_{T_v} \cdot \mid_v^{-1}$ is a representation of the first component of $k_v^\times \times SL(2, k_v) \simeq M_K(k_v)$. From this isomorphism, we have

$$U(T_v, \sigma_v)_{P_K} \simeq \zeta_{T_v} \cdot \mid_v^{-1} \otimes \Theta^0(T_v, \sigma_v).$$

(5.1)

Proposition 5.2. Suppose that $T_v$ is anisotropic.

(1) If $v \notin S_D$ and $\sigma_v \neq \det$ then $U(T_v, \sigma_v)$ is isomorphic to the unique irreducible quotient $J_K(\zeta_{V_v} \cdot \mid_v \otimes \Theta^0(T_v, \sigma_v))$ of $\text{Ind}^{G(k_v)}_{\text{P}_K(k_v)}(\zeta_{V_v} \cdot \mid_v \otimes \Theta^0(T_v, \sigma_v))$.

(2) If $v \in S_D$ and $\sigma_v = 1$ then $U(T_v, \sigma_v)$ is isomorphic to the unique irreducible quotient $J_S(\zeta_{V_v} \cdot \mid_v^{1/2}) \circ \nu_{D_v}$ of $\text{Ind}^{G(k_v)}_{\text{P}_S(k_v)}(\zeta_{V_v} \cdot \mid_v^{1/2}) \circ \nu_{D_v}$.

In both cases, $U(T_v, \sigma_v)$ is denoted by $\theta(V_v, \sigma_v)$. 
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Proof. Assume $\sigma_v = 1$. Let $R(V_v)$ denote the image of the map

$$S(V_v) \ni f \mapsto (G(k_v) \ni g \mapsto \omega_{\psi_v} f(0)) \in \text{Ind}_{P_{2S}(k_v)}^{G(k_v)}((\zeta_{V_v} \cdot |v|^{-1/2} \circ \nu_{D_v})).$$

Then the $G(V_v)$-coinvariant space $S(V_v)_{G(V_v)}$ of $S(V_v)$ is isomorphic to $R(V_v)$ as a representation of $G(k_v)$ ([MVW87 Chap.3 IV Th.7]). It is known that $R(V_v)$ is irreducible for all $v$ ([KRS92 Prop.1.1, Yas07 Prop.4.5]). Since $U(V_v, \sigma_v)$ is non-zero and a quotient of $S(V_v)_{G(V_v)}$, it is isomorphic to $R(V_v)$. If $v \in S_D$, $U(V_v, \sigma_v)$ is isomorphic to $J_S((\zeta_{V_v} \cdot |v|^{-1/2} \circ \nu_{D_v})$ by [Yas07 Prop.4.5]. If $v \notin S_D$ then $R(V_v)$ is isomorphic to an irreducible subrepresentation of $\text{Ind}_{P_k(k_v)}^{G(k_v)}((\zeta_{T_v} \cdot |v|^{-1} \otimes \Theta^0(T_v, \sigma_v))$ because $\Theta^0(T_v, \sigma_v)$ is non-zero. As for Jacquet module, from [§11] and [BZ77 §2.12, we have

$$R(V_v)_{P_K} = \zeta_{T_v} \cdot |v|^{-1} \otimes \Theta^0(T_v, \sigma_v),$$

$$(\text{Ind}_{P_K(k_v)}^{G(k_v)} \zeta_{T_v} \cdot |v|^{-1} \otimes \Theta^0(T_v, \sigma_v))_{P_K} = \zeta_{T_v} \otimes \text{Ind}_{B_{\text{SL}(2,k_v)}}^{G_{\text{SL}(2,k_v)}}(\zeta_{T_v} \cdot |v|^{-1} \otimes \Theta^0(T_v, \sigma_v) + \zeta_{T_v} \cdot |v| \otimes \Theta^0(T_v, \sigma_v))$$

in the Grothendieck group. From [ST93 Prop.5.4], $\text{Ind}_{P_k(k_v)}^{G(k_v)} \zeta_{T_v} \cdot |v|^{-1} \otimes \Theta^0(T_v, \sigma_v)$ has three irreducible constituents. More precisely, all the constituents consist of

$$J_K(\zeta_{T_v} \cdot |v| \otimes \Theta^0(T_v, \sigma_v)), \ J_S(|v|^{-1/2} \zeta_{T_v} St_{G(2,k_v)}), \ \delta(T_v, \sigma_v).$$

Here,

- $J_S(|v|^{-1/2} \zeta_{T_v} St_{G(2,k_v)})$ is the unique irreducible quotient of $\text{Ind}_{P_k(k_v)}^{G(k_v)}(|v|^{-1/2} \zeta_{T_v} St_{GL(2,k_v)})$ where $St_{G(2,k_v)}$ is the Steinberg representation of $GL(2, k_v)$ and $J_S(|v|^{-1/2} \zeta_{T_v} St_{GL(2,k_v)})_{P_K} = \zeta_{T_v} \otimes \text{Ind}_{B_{\text{SL}(2,k_v)}}^{G_{\text{SL}(2,k_v)}}(\zeta_{T_v} \cdot |v|^{-1} \otimes \Theta^0(T_v, \sigma_v))$)

- $\delta(T_v, \sigma_v)$ is an irreducible tempered representation with Jacquet module $\zeta_{T_v} \cdot |v| \otimes \Theta^0(T_v, \sigma_v)$,

- $J_K(\zeta_{T_v} \cdot |v| \otimes \Theta^0(T_v, \sigma_v))_{P_K} \simeq \zeta_{T_v} \cdot |v|^{-1} \otimes \Theta^0(T_v, \sigma_v)$.

Therefore, $R(V_v)$ is isomorphic to $J_K(\zeta_{T_v} \cdot |v| \otimes \Theta^0(T_v, \sigma_v))$. Next assume $v \notin S_D$, $\sigma_v \neq 1$, det. Since $\sigma_v$ is supercuspidal, $\Omega(V_v, \sigma_v)$ is irreducible by [MVW87 Chap.3 IV Th.41) and so is $U(T_v, \sigma_v)$. Since $\Theta^0(T_v, \sigma_v)$ is supercuspidal, as for Jacquet module we have

$$(\text{Ind}_{P_k(k_v)}^{G(k_v)} \zeta_{T_v} \cdot |v|^{-1} \otimes \Theta^0(T_v, \sigma_v))_{P_K} = \zeta_{T_v} \cdot |v|^{-1} \otimes \Theta^0(T_v, \sigma_v) + \zeta_{T_v} \cdot |v| \otimes \Theta^0(T_v, \sigma_v)$$

in the Grothendieck group. Since $\text{Ind}_{P_k(k_v)}^{G(k_v)} \zeta_{T_v} \cdot |v|^{-1} \otimes \Theta^0(T_v, \sigma_v)$ has length at most 2, from [§11], $\Omega(V_v, \sigma_v)$ is isomorphic to the unique irreducible subrepresentation of $\text{Ind}_{P_k(k_v)}^{G(k_v)} \zeta_{T_v} \cdot |v|^{-1} \otimes \Theta^0(T_v, \sigma_v)$.

If $v \notin S_D$ and $T_v$ is isotropic, there is a smooth subrepresentation $F$ of the
Jacquet module $(\omega_{\psi_v,T_v})_{P_K}$ as a representation of $O(1,1;k_v) \times M_K(k_v)$ such that

$$(\omega_{\psi_v,T_v})_{P_K}/\mathcal{F} \simeq |\cdot|_{v^{-1}} \otimes \omega_{\psi_v,T_v}^0,$$

$\mathcal{F} \simeq \text{Ind}^{O(1,1;k_v) \times \mathbb{G}_m(k_v)}_{SO(1,1;k_v) \times \mathbb{G}_m(k_v)}(\tau_v) \otimes 1_{SL(2)}$

where $|\cdot|_{v^{-1}}$ is a representation of the first component of $\mathbb{G}_m(k_v) \times SL(2,k_v) \simeq M_K(k_v)$ and $\tau_v$ is the representation of $SO(1,1;k_v) \times \mathbb{G}_m(k_v) \simeq k_v^\times \times k_v^\times$ defined on $S(GL(1,k_v))$ by

$$\tau_v(c,a)\phi(y) = \phi(c^{-1}ya) \quad (c,a,y \in k_v^\times, \phi \in S(GL(1,k_v)))$$

(See [MV87] Chap.3 IV or [Kud86] Th.2.8). Note that

$$\text{Ind}^{O(1,1;k_v) \times \mathbb{G}_m(k_v)}_{SO(1,1;k_v) \times \mathbb{G}_m(k_v)}(\tau_v) \simeq S(O(1,1;k_v))$$

where a representation on $S(O(1,1;k_v))$ is given by the left and right regular action of $O(1,1;k_v) \times \mathbb{G}_m(k_v)$ (or $O(1,1;k_v) \times O(1,1;k_v)$).

**Lemma 5.3.**

$$\mathcal{U}(T_v,\sigma_v)_{P_K} = \sigma_v|_{\mathbb{G}_m(k_v)} \otimes 1_{SL(2)} + |\cdot|_{v^{-1}} \otimes \Theta^0(T_v,\sigma_v) \quad + |\cdot|_{v^{-1}} \otimes (\text{a supercuspidal representation of } SL(2,k_v)),$$

in the Grothendieck group.

**Remark 5.4.** The supercuspidal representation appearing in the lemma may be zero.

**Proof.** For a smooth representation $\rho$ of $O(1,1;k_v) \times M_K(k_v)$, $\mathcal{N}(\rho,\sigma_v)$ denotes the intersection of the kernels of $f$ which belong to $\text{Hom}_{O(1,1;k_v)}(\rho,\sigma_v)$. Then $\rho_{\sigma_v} := \rho/\mathcal{N}(\rho,\sigma_v)$ becomes the maximal $\sigma_v$-isotypic quotient of $\rho$, which is isomorphic to $\sigma_v \otimes \pi(\tau,\sigma_v)$ where $\pi(\tau,\sigma_v)$ is a smooth representation of $M_K(k_v)$ ([MV87] Chap.2 III). In general, for an exact sequence of smooth representations of $O(1,1;k_v) \times M_K(k_v)$,

$$0 \to \rho_1 \to \rho_2 \to \rho_3 \to 0,$$

a following exact sequence is obtained.

$$\rho_{1,\sigma_v} \to \rho_{2,\sigma_v} \to \rho_{3,\sigma_v} \to 0.$$  

This implies that there is an exact sequence,

$$\pi(\rho_1,\sigma_v) \to \pi(\rho_2,\sigma_v) \to \pi(\rho_3,\sigma_v) \to 0.$$  

Now let us set $\rho_1 = \mathcal{F}, \rho_2 = (\omega_{\psi_v,T_v})_{P_K}, \rho_3 = (\omega_{\psi_v,T_v})_{P_K}/\mathcal{F}$. For $\phi_v \neq 0 \in \sigma_v$, a surjective map

$$\omega_{\psi_v,T_v} \ni f_v \mapsto \lambda_v(f_v,\phi_v) \in \mathcal{U}(T_v,\sigma_v)$$

can be reduced to

$$\overline{\lambda}_{\phi_v} : (\omega_{\psi_v,T_v})_{P_K} \to \mathcal{U}(T_v,\sigma_v)_{P_K}$$
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by definition. For \( \xi \in T_v \) such that \( q_{T_v}(\xi) \neq 0 \), put

\[
\lambda_{\psi_v}^1(f_v)(a) = \int_{O(1,1;\mathbb{A}_v)} f_v(h a) \overline{\phi_v(h)} dh \quad (f_v \in \mathcal{S}(O(1,1;\mathbb{A}_v)), a \in \mathbb{A}_v^*),
\]

\[
\lambda_{\psi_v}^3(f'_v)(g) = \int_{O(1,1;\mathbb{A}_v)} \omega_0^0(\psi_v,T_v(h,g),f'_v(\xi) \overline{\phi_v(h)}) dh \quad (f'_v \in \mathcal{S}(T_v), g \in SL(2,\mathbb{A}_v)),
\]

where these integral converge absolutely. \( \mathcal{U}_K^i(T_v,\sigma_v) \) (resp. \( \mathcal{U}_K^3(T_v,\sigma_v) \)) denotes the space generated by functions \( \lambda_{\psi_v}^1(f_v) \) for all \( f_v \in \mathcal{S}(O(1,1;\mathbb{A}_v)) \) (resp. \( \lambda_{\psi_v}^3(f'_v) \) for all \( f'_v \in \mathcal{S}(T_v) \)). \( \rho_1 \) and \( \rho_3 \) provide \( \mathcal{U}_K^1(T_v,\sigma_v) \) and \( \mathcal{U}_K^3(T_v,\sigma_v) \) with structure of representations of \( M_K(\mathbb{A}_v) \). Then \( \mathcal{U}_K^3(T_v,\sigma_v), which is isomorphic to \( \cdot |v|^{-1} \otimes \Theta^0(T_v,\sigma_v), \) is a quotient of \( \mathcal{U}(T_v,\sigma_v)_{P_K} \) and the following diagram is commutative:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \rightarrow & \rho_1 \\
\downarrow \lambda_{v}^1 & & \downarrow \lambda_{v}^3 \\
\mathcal{U}_K^1(T_v,\sigma_v) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{U}(T_v,\sigma_v)_{P_K}.
\end{array}
\]

Similarly to the observation before Proposition 5.1, there are surjective homomorphisms \( \pi(\rho_i,\sigma_v) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_K^i(T_v,\sigma_v) \) (\( i = 1, 3 \)) and \( \pi(\rho_2,\sigma_v) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(T_v,\sigma_v)_{P_K} \). From (5.2), we have the following commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\pi(\rho_1,\sigma_v) & \rightarrow & \pi(\rho_2,\sigma_v) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{U}_K^1(T_v,\sigma_v) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(T_v,\sigma_v)_{P_K}.
\end{array}
\]

Since

\[
dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_{O(1,1;\mathbb{A}_v) \times O(1,1;\mathbb{A}_v)}(\tau_v,\sigma_v^\vee \otimes \pi_v) = \begin{cases} 1 & \pi_v \simeq \sigma_v, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}
\]

and \( \lambda_{\psi_v}^1 \) is non-zero, one concludes that \( \iota \) is injective and

\[
\iota(\pi(\rho_1,\sigma_v)) \simeq \pi(\rho_1,\sigma_v) \simeq \mathcal{U}_K^1(T_v,\sigma_v) \simeq \sigma_v|_{G_m(\mathbb{A}_v)} \otimes 1_{SL(2)}.
\]

Since \( (\omega_0^0(\psi_v,T_v))_{BSL(2)} = 1 \otimes 1 + \tau_v \) (See [MvW87, Chap.3 IV or [Kud86, Th.2.8]),

\[
\pi((\omega_0^0(\psi_v,T_v))_{BSL(2)},\sigma_v) = \Theta^0(T_v,\sigma_v)_{BSL(2)} = \begin{cases} 1 + 1 & \sigma_v = 1, \\ \sigma_v|_{G_m(\mathbb{A}_v)} & \sigma_v \neq 1, \end{cases}
\]

in the Grothendieck group. Since \( \Theta^0(T_v,\sigma_v), \) is a quotient of \( \pi((\omega_0^0(\psi_v,T_v),\sigma_v), \)

\[
\pi((\omega_0^0(\psi_v,T_v))_{P_K},\sigma_v) = \sigma_v|_{G_m(\mathbb{A}_v)} \otimes 1_{SL(2)} + |v|^{-1} \otimes \Theta^0(T_v,\sigma_v)
\]

+ \( |v|^{-1} \otimes \text{(a supercuspidal representation of SL}(2,\mathbb{A}_v)) \)

in the Grothendieck group. Since \( \mathcal{U}(T_v,\sigma_v)_{P_K} \) is a quotient of \( \pi((\omega_0^0(\psi_v,T_v))_{P_K},\sigma_v) \) and \( \mathcal{U}_K^1(T_v,\sigma_v), \mathcal{U}_K^3(T_v,\sigma_v) \) are subquotients of \( \mathcal{U}(T_v,\sigma_v)_{P_K}, \) the proposition is obtained. \( \square \)
For a quasi-character $\mu_v$ of $k_v^\times$ and a smooth representation $\tau_v$ of $SL(2, k_v)$,
$$(\text{Ind}^{SL(2, k_v)}_{P(k_v)}(\mu_v \otimes \tau_v))_{P_K} = \mu_v \otimes \tau_v + \mu_v^\vee \otimes \tau_v + \tau_v_{BSL(2)} \otimes (\text{Ind}^{SL(2, k_v)}_{BSL(2)(k_v)}(\mu_v))$$
in the Grothendieck group ([BZ77] §2.12).

**Proposition 5.5.** Let $v \not\in S_D$ and $T_v$ is isotropic. Then $U(T_v, \sigma_v)$ has an irreducible quotient isomorphic to

$$\theta(T_v, \sigma_v) := \begin{cases} J_K(\cdot | v \otimes \text{Ind}^{SL(2, k_v)}_{BSL(2)(k_v)}(\chi_v)) & \sigma_v = \text{Ind}^{O(1,1; k_v)}_{SO(1,1; k_v)}(\chi_v, \chi_v^2 \neq 1), \\
J_K(\cdot | v \otimes \text{Ind}^{SL(2, k_v)}_{BSL(2)(k_v)}(1)) & \sigma_v = 1, \\
J_K(\cdot | v \otimes \Theta(\tau_v, \sigma_v)) & \sigma_v = \chi_v^\epsilon, \chi_v^2 = 1, \chi_v \neq 1, \epsilon = \pm 1, \\
J_S(\cdot | \frac{1}{2} St_{GL(2)}) & \sigma_v = \det,
\end{cases}$$

where $St_{GL(2)}$ is the Steinberg representation of $GL(2, k_v)$ and $J_K(\tau)$ (resp. $J_S(\tau)$) denotes the Langlands quotient of $\text{Ind}^{Sp(4, k_v)}_{P_k(k_v)} \tau$ (resp. $\text{Ind}^{GL(2)}_{P_k(k_v)} \tau$).

**Proof.** By Lemma 5.3 and [BZ67] Th.4.17, $U(V_v, \sigma_v)_{P_K}$ has a quotient equal to

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
| \cdot | v^{-1} \otimes \Theta(\tau_v, \sigma_v) + \chi_v \otimes 1_{SL(2)} + \chi_v^{-1} \otimes 1_{SL(2)} \\
| \cdot | v^{-1} \otimes \Theta(\tau_v, \sigma_v) + \chi_v \otimes 1_{SL(2)}
\end{array} \right\}$$

in the Grothendieck group. If $\chi_v^2 \neq 1$, $\text{Ind}^{Sp(4, k_v)}_{P_k(k_v)} \chi_v \otimes 1_{SL(2)}$ is irreducible from [St93] Th.5.4 (ii), and $J_K(\cdot | v \otimes \text{Ind}^{SL(2, k_v)}_{BSL(2)(k_v)}(\chi_v))$ is isomorphic to $\text{Ind}^{Sp(4, k_v)}_{P_k(k_v)}(\chi_v \otimes 1_{SL(2)}).$ Therefore, in the Grothendieck group

$$J_K(\cdot | v \otimes \text{Ind}^{SL(2, k_v)}_{BSL(2)(k_v)}(\chi_v))_{P_K} = (\text{Ind}^{Sp(4, k_v)}_{P_k(k_v)}(\chi_v \otimes 1_{SL(2)}))_{P_K} = | \cdot | v^{-1} \otimes \text{Ind}^{SL(2, k_v)}_{BSL(2)(k_v)}(\chi_v) + \chi_v \otimes 1_{SL(2)} + \chi_v^{-1} \otimes 1_{SL(2)}$$

If $\chi_v^2 = 1, \chi_v \neq 1,$ in the Grothendieck group

$$\text{Ind}^{Sp(4, k_v)}_{P_k(k_v)}(\cdot | v \otimes \chi_v) = \text{Ind}^{Sp(4, k_v)}_{P_k(k_v)}(\cdot | v \otimes \delta(\chi_v, +)) + \text{Ind}^{Sp(4, k_v)}_{P_k(k_v)}(\cdot | v \otimes \delta(\chi_v, -))$$

$$= \text{Ind}^{Sp(4, k_v)}_{P_k(k_v)}(\chi_v \otimes 1_{SL(2)}) + \text{Ind}^{Sp(4, k_v)}_{P_k(k_v)}(\chi_v \otimes St_{SL(2)})$$

where $\delta(\chi_v, \pm)$ is irreducible representations of $SL(2, k_v)$ such that

$$\text{Ind}^{SL(2, k_v)}_{BSL(2)(k_v)}(\chi_v) \simeq \delta(\chi_v, +) \oplus \delta(\chi_v, -)$$

and $St_{SL(2)}$ is the Steinberg representation of $SL(2, k_v).$ The length of $\text{Ind}^{Sp(4, k_v)}_{P_k(k_v)}(\cdot | v \otimes \chi_v)$ is 4 from [St93] Th.5.4. By comparison of Jacquet modules, we see that the semisimplification of $J_K(\cdot | v \otimes \delta(\chi_v, \pm))_{P_K}$ coincides with the common composition factor of $(\text{Ind}^{Sp(4, k_v)}_{P_k(k_v)}(\cdot | v \otimes \delta(\chi_v, \pm)))_{P_K}$ and $(\text{Ind}^{Sp(4, k_v)}_{P_k(k_v)}(\chi_v \otimes 1_{SL(2)}))_{P_K}$. Therefore,

$$J_K(\cdot | v \otimes \delta(\chi_v, \pm))_{P_K} = | \cdot | v^{-1} \otimes \delta(\chi_v, \pm) + \chi_v \otimes 1_{SL(2)}$$

in the Grothendieck group. If $\sigma_v \neq \det$, a non-zero quotient of $U(T_v, \sigma_v)$ is isomorphic to a subrepresentation of $\text{Ind}^{Sp(4, k_v)}_{P_k(k_v)}(\cdot | v^{-1} \otimes \Theta(T_v, \sigma_v))$ because $\Theta(\tau_v, \sigma_v) \neq 0$. If $\chi_v \neq 1$, from the Jacquet module of $\text{Ind}^{Sp(4, k_v)}_{P_k(k_v)}(\cdot | v^{-1} \otimes \Theta(T_v, \sigma_v))$ and the description of the above Jacquet modules, we have that $J_K(\cdot | v \otimes \Theta(T_v, \sigma_v))$ is a
quotient of $U(T_v, \sigma_v)$. Assume $\sigma_v = 1$. \(\text{Ind}^{\text{Sp}(4,k_v)}_{P_K(k_v)}(1 \otimes 1_{SL(2)})\) is a subrepresentation of \(\text{Ind}^{\text{Sp}(4,k_v)}_{P_K(k_v)}(| \cdot |_v^{-1} \otimes \text{Ind}^{SL(2,k_v)}_{B^{SL(2)}}(1))\). From the comparison of Jacquet modules, there is a non-zero intertwining operator from $U(T_v, \sigma_v)$ to \(\text{Ind}^{\text{Sp}(4,k_v)}_{P_K(k_v)}(1 \otimes 1_{SL(2)})\). From [ST93] Lem.3.8 and [Tad94] Lem.6.2,
\[
\text{Ind}^{\text{Sp}(4,k_v)}_{P_K(k_v)}(1 \otimes 1_{SL(2)}) \simeq J_K(| \cdot |_v \otimes \text{Ind}^{SL(2,k_v)}_{B^{SL(2)}}(1)) \oplus J_S(| \cdot |_v^{1/2} St_{GL(2)}). \quad (5.3)
\]
Since the Jacquet module of the image of $U(T_v, \sigma_v)$ does not contain $J_S(| \cdot |_v^{1/2} St_{GL(2)})_P_K$, $J_K(| \cdot |_v \otimes \text{Ind}^{SL(2,k_v)}_{B^{SL(2)}}(1))$ is a quotient of $U(T_v, \sigma_v)$. Finally, consider $\sigma_v = \det$. Since $U(T_v, \sigma_v)_{P_K}$ has a quotient isomorphic to $1 \otimes 1_{SL(2)}$, there is a non-zero intertwining operator from $U(T_v, \sigma_v)$ to \(\text{Ind}^{\text{Sp}(4,k_v)}_{P_K(k_v)}(1 \otimes 1_{SL(2)})\) by the Frobenius reciprocity. It is seen that $J_K(| \cdot |_v \otimes \text{Ind}^{SL(2,k_v)}_{B^{SL(2)}}(1))$ is not an irreducible constituent of the image of $U(T_v, \sigma_v)$ from the Jacquet modules. Therefore, by (5.3) one concludes that a quotient of $U(T_v, \sigma_v)$ is isomorphic to $J_S(| \cdot |_v^{1/2} St_{GL(2)})$.

### 5.2 Archimedean case

Let $v$ be an archimedean place. $g(V_v)$ and $g_v$ denote the complexifications of Lie algebras of $G(V_v)$ and $G(k_v)$. Let $S(V_v) \subset S(V_v)$ be the space of Schwartz functions which correspond to polynomials in the Fock model of $\omega_\psi, V_v$. For an irreducible admissible $(g(V_v), L_v)$-module $\sigma_v$, let $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_v}$ be the intersection of all subspaces $\mathcal{N} \subset S(V_v)$ such that $S(V_v)/\mathcal{N} \simeq \sigma_v$. Then there exists an admissible $(g_v, K_v)$-module $\rho(\sigma_v)$ such that
\[
S(V_v)/\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_v} \simeq \sigma_v \otimes \rho(\sigma_v)
\]
as a $(g(V_v) \oplus g_v, L_v \times K_v)$-module. Furthermore, if $\rho_v$ is non-zero, $\rho(\sigma_v)$ has a unique irreducible $(g_v, K_v)$-quotient $\theta(V_v, \sigma_v)$ ([How89] Th.2.1). For $\sigma_v = \check{\lambda}_v^\epsilon$ for a character $\chi_v$ and $\epsilon = \pm 1$, $U(V_v, \sigma_v)$ is defined by the space generated by $\lambda_v(f_v, \phi_v)$ for all $f_v \in S(V_v)$ and all $\phi_v \in \check{\chi}_v^\epsilon$. Similarly to the non-archimedean case, $U(V_v, \sigma_v)$ is a quotient of $U(V_v, \sigma_v)$. Therefore $\theta(V_v, \sigma_v)$ is a quotient of $U(V_v, \sigma_v)$.

**Proposition 5.6.** If $v \notin S_D$ and $\sigma_v \neq \det$ then $\theta(V_v, \sigma_v)$ is isomorphic to the unique irreducible quotient $J_K(| \cdot |_v \otimes \Theta^0(T_v, \sigma_v))$ of $\text{Ind}^{\text{Sp}(4,k_v)}_{P_K(k_v)}(\zeta_{T_v} | \cdot |_v \otimes \Theta^0(T_v, \sigma_v))$ where $T_v = T_{\psi_v}$.

This proposition is obtained by [Pan05] Th.38 if $v$ is real and [AB95] Prop.21, Th.2.8 if $v$ is complex.

**Proposition 5.7 ([LZ97] Cor.3.2 and [AB95] Prop.2.1).** If $v \notin S_D$ is real, $T_v$ is isotypic and $\sigma_v = \det$ then $\theta(V_v, \sigma_v)$ is isomorphic to an irreducible constituent of $\text{Ind}^{\text{Sp}(4,R)}_{\text{PS}(R)}(| \cdot |^{1/2}) \circ \det$ where $\det$ is the sign character of $R^\times$. If $v$ is complex and $\sigma_v = \det$ then $\theta(V_v, \sigma_v)$ is isomorphic to the unique irreducible constituent of $\text{Ind}^{\text{Sp}(4,C)}_{\text{PS}(C)}(| \cdot |^{1/2} \otimes | \cdot |^{-1/2})$ containing the lowest $K_v$-type of the induction.
When $v \not\in S_D$ and $v$ is real we choose a Cartan subgroup $T$ of $G(k_v) = Sp(4, \mathbb{R})$ with Lie algebra $t_{v,0}$ and complexification $t_v$ as follows:

$$t_{v,0} = t_v \cap \mathfrak{M}(4, \mathbb{R}) \subset \mathfrak{sp}(4, \mathbb{R}),$$

$$t_v = \left\{ t(a_1, a_2) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ -a_1 & -a_2 \end{pmatrix} \mid a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

When writing $e_i : t_v \ni t(a_1, a_2) \mapsto a_i \in \mathbb{C} (i = 1, 2)$, the roots of $t_v$ in $\mathfrak{g}_v = \mathfrak{sp}(4, \mathbb{C})$ are

$$\Delta(\mathfrak{g}_v, t_v) = \{ \pm e_1 \pm e_2, \pm 2e_1, \pm 2e_2 \}.$$

$\Psi_+ \ (\text{resp. } \Psi_-) \subset \Delta(\mathfrak{g}_v, t_v)$ denotes the set of positive roots defined by simple roots $e_1 - e_2, 2e_2$ (resp. $e_1 - e_2, -2e_1$). If $\lambda \in \sqrt{-1}t_{v,0}$ is dominant with respect to $\Psi_\pm$, the limit of discrete series defined by $\lambda$ and $\Psi_\pm$ is denoted by $\delta(\lambda, \Psi_\pm)$. If $v \in S_D$, $G(\mathbb{R})$ is realized by

$$Sp(1, 1) = \{ g \in Sp(4, \mathbb{C}) \mid g I_{1,1}^{-1} g = I_{1,1} \}, \quad I_{1,1} = \text{diag}(1, -1, 1, -1).$$

We choose a Cartan subgroup $T$ of $G(k_v)$ with Lie algebra $t_{v,0}$ and complexification $t_v$ as follows:

$$t_{v,0} = t_v \cap \sqrt{-1} \mathfrak{M}(4, \mathbb{R}) \subset \mathfrak{g}_v,$$

$$t_v = \left\{ t(a_1, a_2) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ -a_1 & -a_2 \end{pmatrix} \mid a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

the roots of $t_v$ in $\mathfrak{g}_v$ are

$$\Delta(\mathfrak{g}_v, t_v) = \{ \pm e_1 \pm e_2, \pm 2e_1, \pm 2e_2 \}$$

where $e_i : t_v \ni t(a_1, a_2) \mapsto a_i \in \mathbb{C} (i = 1, 2)$. $\Psi_+ \ (\text{resp. } \Psi_-) \subset \Delta(\mathfrak{g}_v, t_v)$ denotes the set of positive roots defined by simple roots $e_1 - e_2, 2e_2$ (resp. $e_1 - e_2, 2e_1$). If $\lambda \in \sqrt{-1}t_{v,0}$ is dominant with respect to $\Psi_\pm$, the limit of discrete series defined by $\lambda$ and $\Psi_\pm$ is denoted by $\delta(\lambda, \Psi_\pm)$. If $v \in S_D$, $G(\mathbb{R})$ is isomorphic to $O^*(2) \simeq \mathbb{C}^1$, so that a character of $G(V_v)$ is identified with that of $\mathbb{C}^1$.

**Proposition 5.8.** Let $\psi_v = \exp(\lambda \cdot)$ for $\lambda = \epsilon \sqrt{-1}|\lambda| \in \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}$ $\epsilon = \pm 1$.

(1) If $v \not\in S_D$, $v$ is real and $T_v = T_{V_v}$ is anisotropic then

$$\theta(V_v, \det) \simeq \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \delta((1, 0), \Psi_+) & \epsilon \eta > 0, \\ \delta((0, -1), \Psi_-) & \epsilon \eta < 0. \end{array} \right.$$

where $\eta = 1$ if $T_v$ is positive definite, $-1$ otherwise.

(2) Assume $v \in S_D$ and $v$ is real. If $\sigma_v = 1$ then $\theta(V_v, \sigma_v) \simeq \text{Ind}^{G(\mathbb{R})}_{PS(\mathbb{R})}(|\nu_{D_v}|^{1/2}).$ If
\[ \sigma_v = \exp(2\pi \sqrt{-1} n \cdot ) \; (n \in \mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}) \] then
\[ \theta(V_v, \sigma_v) \simeq \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\delta(|n|, 1), \Omega_+ & \epsilon n > 0, \\
\delta(1, |n|), \Omega_- & \epsilon n < 0.
\end{array} \right. \]

In particular, if \(|n| > 1\), \(\theta(V_v, \sigma_v)\) is in the discrete series.

To prove this proposition we recall the Fock model. For \(n \in \mathbb{N}\), we define the Fock space
\[
\mathcal{F} = \left\{ F : C^n \to C, \text{ entire} \; \left\| F \right\|_c^2 := \int_{C^n} |F(x)|^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2} |x|^2} d_cx < +\infty \right\}
\]
where \(d_cx\) is the self-dual measure with respect to \(C^n \times C^n \ni (z_1, z_2) \mapsto e^{\sqrt{-1}\text{Re}(z_1 \cdot z_2)} \in C^1\). Then \(\mathcal{F}\) is a Hilbert space by the inner product given by \(\left\| \cdot \right\|_c\). For \(f \in L^2(R^n)\), put
\[
Bf(z) = \int_{R^n} f(x) B(x, z) d\psi x \quad (z \in C^n),
\]
\[
B(x, z) = 2\pi \cdot \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} |\lambda|^2 + |\lambda|^2 x \cdot z - \frac{1}{4} z^2 \right) \quad (x \in R^n, z \in C^n)
\]
where \(d\psi x\) is the self-dual measure with respect to \(X \times X \ni (x, y) \mapsto \psi(x \cdot y)\). The \(L^2\)-norm \(\| \cdot \|_{L^2}\) on \(L^2(R^n)\) is defined by \(d\psi x\). Then \(\mathcal{B}\) becomes an isomorphism from \((L(R^n), \| \cdot \|_{L^2})\) to \((\mathcal{F}, \| \cdot \|_c)\) (Fol89 Chap.1 § 6). The Weil representation \(\omega_{\psi_v}\) of the metaplectic cover of \(Sp(2n, R)\) is defined on \(L(R^n)\) as a unitary representation.

By the transfer by \(\mathcal{B}\), the Weil representation can be also realized on \(\mathcal{F}\), which is denoted by \(\omega_{\psi_v}\). The Harish-Chandra module of \(\omega_{\psi_v}\) is \(C[z_1, \ldots, z_n] \subset \mathcal{F}\) and the explicit formula of \(\omega_{\psi_v}\) is described as a \((sp(2n, C) \oplus C, U(n) \times C^1)\)-module as follows:

1. \(\omega_{\psi_v}((0, t)) = t \cdot \text{Id}_{C[z_1, \ldots, z_n]} \quad (t \in C)\),
2. \(\omega_{\psi_v}(\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{E_{i,j} - E_{j,i}}{\epsilon \sqrt{-1} (E_{i,j} + E_{j,i})} \right)) = \frac{E_{i,j} + E_{j,i}}{\epsilon \sqrt{-1} (E_{i,j} + E_{j,i})} \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq n)\),
3. \(\omega_{\psi_v}(\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\epsilon \sqrt{-1} (E_{i,j} + E_{j,i})}{E_{i,j} + E_{j,i}} \right)) = \epsilon \sqrt{-1} \; z_iz_j \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq n)\),
4. \(\omega_{\psi_v}(\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\epsilon \sqrt{-1} (E_{i,j} + E_{j,i})}{E_{i,j} + E_{j,i}} \right)) = \epsilon \sqrt{-1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_i \partial z_j} \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq n)\),
5. \(\omega_{\psi_v}(\frac{a}{b}, \eta) f(z) = \eta f(z(a + \epsilon \sqrt{-1} b)) \quad ((a \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix}, \eta) \in U(n) \times C^1, f \in C[z_1, \ldots, z_n])\).
Here $E_{i,j} = (\delta_{i,k}\delta_{j,l})_{k,l}$ and (2), (3), (4) are the actions with respect to a basis to

$$\mathfrak{t} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B & A \end{pmatrix} \middle| A \in \text{Alt}(n, \mathbb{C}), B \in \text{Sym}(n, \mathbb{C}) \right\},$$

$$\mathfrak{p}^+ = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -\epsilon \sqrt{-1}B & B \\ B & \epsilon \sqrt{-1}B \end{pmatrix} \middle| B \in \text{Sym}(n, \mathbb{C}) \right\},$$

$$\mathfrak{p}^- = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon \sqrt{-1}B & B \\ B & -\epsilon \sqrt{-1}B \end{pmatrix} \middle| B \in \text{Sym}(n, \mathbb{C}) \right\},$$

where $\mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{p}^- \oplus \mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{p}^+$ and $\mathfrak{t}$ is the complexification of the Lie algebra of a maximal compact subgroup of $Sp(2n, \mathbb{R})$.

In our case, $n = 8$ and the transfer $\hat{\omega}(\mathfrak{v}_e, V_e)$ on $C[z_1, \ldots, z_4]$ of $\omega(\mathfrak{v}_e, V_e)$ as a $(g(V_e) \oplus \mathfrak{g}_v, L_v \times K_v)$-module coincides with the composition of $\hat{\omega}(\mathfrak{v}_e)$ and the splitting,

$$\cdot \text{d}t : g(V_e) \oplus \mathfrak{g}_v \to \mathfrak{sp}(8, \mathbb{R}),$$

$$\cdot L_v \times K_v \ni (l, k) \mapsto (\iota(l, k), 1) \in U(4) \times C^1,$$

where $\iota : G(V_e) \times G(k_e) \to Sp(8, \mathbb{R})$ is the natural homomorphism, $dt$ its differential, and $U(4)$ is identified with the maximal compact subgroup of $Sp(8, \mathbb{R})$.

Let us prove Proposition 5.8. If $v \in S_D$ and $\sigma_v = 1$, the statement has already been shown by [Yas07, Prop.4.7]. In the other case, we will prove only the case of $v \in S_D$. For the case of $v \not\in S_D$ is similarly proven to the case of $v \in S_D$ and $\sigma_v = \exp(\pm 2\pi \sqrt{-1} \cdot)$. (And it is proven for $\epsilon > 0, \eta > 0$ in [Ada04 Th.4.1.]) Since for

$$Y_t = \begin{pmatrix} t & -t \\ t & -t \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Lie}^*O(2) \ (t \in \mathbb{R}),$$

$$\hat{\omega}(\mathfrak{v}_e, V_e)(Y_{\tau}) = \sqrt{-1}\epsilon \eta t(z_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + z_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} - z_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_3} - z_4 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_4}),$$

we have an isotypic decomposition of $C[z_1, \ldots, z_4]$ as $g(V_e)$-modules,

$$C[z_1, \ldots, z_4] = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{P}_k,$$

$$\mathcal{P}_k = \bigoplus_{k_1-k_2=\epsilon \eta k} C[z_1, z_2]^{(k_1)} \otimes C[z_3, z_4]^{(k_2)},$$

where $\mathcal{P}_k$ is the isotypic space of a character $\exp(2\pi \sqrt{-1} \cdot)$ of $G(V_e) \simeq C^1$ and $C[z_1, z_2]^{(l)}$ denotes the set of homogenous polynomials of degree $l$. The actions of a basis of $\mathfrak{g}_v$ is described as follows:

$$\begin{align*}
(1) \quad & \hat{\omega}(\mathfrak{v}_e, V_e)\left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = z_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} - z_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}, \\
(2) \quad & \hat{\omega}(\mathfrak{v}_e, V_e)\left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = z_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_3} - z_4 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_4},
\end{align*}$$
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Using this description one can demonstrate the following:

• Every $P_k$ is irreducible as a $g_v$-module.

• The set of $K_v$-types in $P_k$ is

$$\{ (\epsilon \eta k + l, l) \mid l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \} \quad \epsilon \eta k > 0,$$

$$\{ (l, -\epsilon \eta k + l) \mid l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \} \quad \epsilon \eta k < 0.$$

The multiplicity of each $K_v$-type is one.

• The infinitesimal character of $P_k$ is associated to

$$\{ (\epsilon \eta k, 1) \in t^* \quad \epsilon \eta k > 0,$$

$$\{ (1, -\epsilon \eta k) \in t^* \quad \epsilon \eta k < 0.$$

by the Harish-Chandra isomorphism.

In particular, if $|k| > 1$, $P_k$ is the discrete series representation with the Harish-Chandra parameter $(\epsilon \eta k, 1)$ if $\epsilon \eta k > 0$ and $(1, -\epsilon \eta k)$ otherwise. If $\epsilon \eta k = \pm 1$, $\delta((1, 1), \Omega_{\pm})$ and $P_k$ are irreducible highest weight modules with the same highest weight. Therefore, they are isomorphic.
6 Main theorem

Let $V, \chi, S$ be as in §3.

Theorem 6.1. There is an irreducible $G(A)$-subspace $\Theta^1(V, \chi, S)$ of $\Theta(V, \chi, S)$ such that

$$\Theta^1(V, \chi, S) \cong \bigotimes_v \theta(V_v, \sigma_v(V, \chi, S)).$$

Proof. From §5, $\Theta(V, \sigma, S)$ has a quotient isomorphic to

$$\bigotimes_v \theta(V_v, \sigma_v(V, \chi, S)).$$

Since $\Theta(V, \sigma, S)$ is completely reducible, it must contain a subspace isomorphic to this representation.

Theorem 6.2. $\Theta^1(V, \chi, S)$ is a CAP representation with respect to $P_K$. More concretely, there is a set $S'$ of places of $k$ with finite cardinality such that

1. $\Theta^0(T, \chi, S')$ is non-zero and irreducible cuspidal representation of $SL(2, A)$,

2. For almost all $v$, $\theta(V_v, \sigma_v(V, \chi, S))$ is isomorphic to the unique irreducible quotient of $\text{Ind}_{P_K}^{G(k)}(\zeta_{V_v} \cdot \cdot_v \otimes \Theta^0(T_v, \sigma_v(V, \chi, S')))$. 

where $T$ is the quadratic space on $k(\eta)$ defined by the norm form.

Proof. We can choose $S'$ satisfying the first condition by Theorem 4.2. For almost all $v \notin S_D$, $T_v$ is isometric to $T_{V_v}$ because both the quadratic spaces have the same determinant and the Hasse invariant 1. From this and results of §5 the second condition follows.

We write $m(\Theta^1(V, \chi, S))$ for the multiplicity of $\Theta^1(V, \chi, S)$ in the discrete spectrum of $L^2(G(k) \backslash G(A))$.

Theorem 6.3.

$$m(\Theta^1(V, \chi, S)) \geq \begin{cases} 2^{(S_X \cap S_D)-1} & S_D \not\subset S_X, \ S_D \cap S_X \neq \emptyset \\ 2^{2S_D-2} & S_D \subset S_X, \\ 1 & S_D \cap S_X = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

To show this theorem, we make use of the failure of the Hasse’s principle for (-1)-hermitian spaces over a quaternion division algebra. For $\eta \in D_\not\{0\}$ let $k^{x}_{D, \eta} = \{ c \in k^x \mid (\eta^2, c)_v = 1 \text{ for all } v \notin S_D \}$. A group homomorphism $\lambda$ is defined by

$$k^{x}_{D, \eta} \ni c \mapsto \{ (\eta^2, c)_v \}_{v \in S_D} \in \{ \pm 1 \}^{2S_D}.$$ 

Let $\{ \pm 1 \}$ be regarded as the subgroup of $\{ \pm 1 \}^{2S_D}$ via the diagonal embedding. Note that the number of elements of $k^{x}_{D, \eta}/\lambda^{-1}(\{ \pm 1 \})$ is $2^{2S_D-2}$. 
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Proposition 6.4. [Sch85, Theorem 10.4.6, Remark 10.4.6] Let $\langle \eta \rangle$ be a (-1)-hermitian right $D$-space of dimension 1 defined by $\eta \in D_- \setminus \{0\}$. Then for any $c \in k^x_D, \langle c\eta \rangle$ is locally isometric to $\langle \eta \rangle$. For any $a \in \lambda^{-1}(\{\pm 1\})$, $\langle an\rangle$ is globally isometric to $\langle \eta \rangle$. \{(an) : a \in k^x_D/\lambda^{-1}(\{\pm 1\})\} is the set of classes locally isometric to $\langle \eta \rangle$, so that this set contains $2^{28D-2}$ elements.

In the case of $v \in S_D$, the Weil representation $\omega_{\psi_v,\chi_v}$ of $G(V_v) \times G(k_v)$ is determined by not the isometry class of $V_v$ but the choice of a basis of $V_v$. In fact, if $x_1$ and $x_2$ are elements in $V_v$ not equal to each other, $x_1$ and $x_2$ define different Weil representations and the Howe correspondents of an irreducible representation of $G(V_v)$ with respect to these Weil representations do not need to be isomorphic. Here if $\eta_v = h_{V_v}(x, x)$ for $x \in V_v$, we write $\omega_{\psi_v,\eta_v}$ for the Weil representation defined by $\nu$ instead of $\omega_{\psi_v,\nu_v}$. Also write $\lambda_v(\eta_v, f_v, \phi_v)$ instead of $\lambda_v(\nu_v, \phi_v)$ of (3.5), and $\theta(\eta_v, \chi_v)$ instead of $\theta(\nu_v, \chi_v)$.

Lemma 6.5. Let $v \in S_D$ and $V_v \simeq \langle \eta_v \rangle$. For $c \in k^x_v$, $f_v \in S(V_v)$ and a unitary character $\chi_v$ of $G(V_v)$, there exists $f'_v \in S(V_v)$ such that

$$
\lambda_v(\eta_v, f_v, \chi_v) = \lambda_v(c\eta_v, f'_v, \chi_v^c)
$$

where

$$
\chi_v^c = \begin{cases} \chi_v & (\eta_v^2, c)_v = 1, \\ \overline{\chi_v} & (\eta_v^2, c)_v = -1. 
\end{cases}
$$

In particular, $\theta(\eta_v, \chi_v) \simeq \theta(c\eta_v, \chi_v^c)$.

Proof. If $(c, \eta_v^2) = 1$, there is a $\xi \in k(\eta) \subset D$ such that $^{*}\xi \xi = c$. If $(c, \eta_v^2) = -1$, there is a $\xi \in D_-$ such that $\xi^2 = c$ and $\xi \eta = -\eta \xi$. In both cases, $(\xi, \xi)_v = c\eta_v$, so that $\xi$ defines the Weil representation $\omega_{\psi_v,c\eta_v}$ of $G(V_v) \times G(k_v)$. It is easily checked that $\omega_{\psi_v,c\eta_v}$ is realized on $S(V_v)$ by

$$
\omega_{\psi_v,c\eta_v}(h, g) = \omega_{\psi_v,\eta_v}(\xi^{-1}h\xi, g) \quad (h \in G(V_v), g \in G(k_v)).
$$

Therefore for $g \in G(k_v)$,

$$
\lambda(\eta_v, f_v, \chi_v)(g) = \int_{G(V_v)} \omega_{\psi_v,\eta_v}(h, g)f_v(1_{D_v})\chi_v(h)dh
$$

$$
= \int_{G(V_v)} \omega_{\psi_v,\eta_v}(\xi^{-1}h'\xi, g)f_v(1_{D_v})\chi_v(\xi^{-1}h'\xi)dh'
$$

$$
= \int_{G(V_v)} \omega_{\psi_v,\alpha\eta}(h', g)f_v(1_{D_v})\chi_v^c(h')dh'
$$

$$
= \lambda(a\eta_v, f_v, \chi_v^c)(g).
$$

Proof of Theorem 6.3.

If $v \in S_D$ and $\lambda_v^2 = 1$, $\theta(\eta_0, \chi_v) \simeq \theta(c\eta_0, \chi_v)$ for any $c \in k^x$ from Lemma 6.5. Therefore, if $a \in k^x_D, \eta_0$ holds $(a, \eta_0^2)_v = 1$ for all $v \in S_D \setminus S_X$, $\Theta^1(V, \chi, S) \simeq \Theta^1(aV, \chi, S)$.  
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From Lemma 3.2 if $V_1, \ldots, V_n$ are (-1)-hermitian spaces over $D$ of dimension 1 not isometric to each other, in the space of automorphic space of $G(A)$

$$\Theta^1(V_1, \chi, S) + \cdots + \Theta^1(V_n, \chi, S) = \Theta^1(V_1, \chi, S) \oplus \cdots \oplus \Theta^1(V_n, \chi, S).$$

The image into $k_{D,n}^\times/\lambda^{-1}(\{\pm 1\})$ of the set of $a \in k_{D,n}^\times$ holding $(a, \eta_0)_{v} = 1$ for all $v \in S_D \setminus S_\chi$ is isomorphic to

$$\begin{cases} 
\{ a \in k_{D,n}^\times/\ker \lambda | (a, \eta)^2 = 1 \text{ for } v \in S_D \setminus S_\chi \} & S_D \not\subset S_\chi, \\ k_{D,n}^\times/\lambda^{-1}(\{\pm 1\}) & S_D \subset S_\chi.
\end{cases}$$

The cardinality of this set is equal to the number in the right hand side of inequality in the theorem.

7 Multiplicity conjecture

7.1 Arthur’s conjecture

In this section we attempt to explain expected value of the multiplicity appearing in Theorem 6.3 in view of the Arthur’s multiplicity conjecture. Therefore, the argument in this section supposes some conjectures.

Let $F$ be a local field of characteristic 0 and $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)$. The quasisplit inner form of $G$ is $G^* = \text{Sp}(4)$. We have the following bijection [PR91].

$$\{\text{inner forms of } G^*\}/\sim \approx H^1(F, G^*_{ad})$$

$$G' \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \psi u_{G'} : \Gamma \ni \gamma \mapsto \eta_{G'}^{-1} \circ \eta_{G'}$$

Here $\sim$ means isomorphic equivalence and $\eta_{G'} : G^*(\bar{F}) \to G'(\bar{F})$ is an inner twist. In addition, if $F$ is non-archimedean then from [Kot84] Prop.6.4

$$H^1(F, G^*_{ad}) \approx \pi_0(Z(\widehat{G^*_{sc}})^D)$$

$$\psi \quad u_{G'} \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \hat{\zeta}_{G'}.$$  

Here $\widehat{G^*_{sc}}$ is the simply connected cover of $\widehat{G} = \widehat{G} = SO(5, \mathbb{C})$ so that $\widehat{G^*_{sc}} = Sp(4, \mathbb{C})$ and $( )^D$ means Pontrjagin dual. Write $j_{sc} : \widehat{G^*_{sc}} \to \widehat{G^*}$ for the covering map. The local Langlands group $\mathcal{L}_F$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_F = \begin{cases} 
W_F \times SU(2, \mathbb{R}) & F : \text{non-archimedean}, \\
W_F & F : \text{archimedean},
\end{cases}$$

where $W_F$ is the Weil group of $F$. By a (local) A-parameter is meant a continuous homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{L}_F \times SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \to \text{^l G = } \widehat{G} \times W_F$ such that

(i) writing $p_F : \mathcal{L}_F \to W_F$ for the conjectural homomorphism and $p_2 : \text{^l G \to W_F}$ the projection to the second component, $p_2 \circ \phi = p_F$,

(ii) its restriction to $\mathcal{L}_F$ is a Langlands parameter with bounded image [Bor79].
and

(iii) its restriction to $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ is analytic.

We write $C_\psi$ for the centralizer of the image of $\psi$ in $\hat{G}$. For a local $A$-parameter $\psi$ and an inner form $G'$ of $G^*$ suppose the existence of local $A$-packet $\Pi_{G'}^{G^*}$ [Art89], which becomes a finite set of irreducible admissible representations of $G'(F)$. For a global or local $A$-parameter $\psi$, $S_\psi$ denotes $j_{sc}^{-1}(C_\psi)$. $S_\psi$ is defined by $\pi_0(S_\psi) = S_\psi/S_\psi^0$. For an inner form $G'$ of $G^*$ the following condition is called the relevance condition for $(G', \psi)$:

$$\text{Ker} \hat{\zeta}_{G'} \supset Z(\hat{G'}^*_v) \cap S_\psi^0.$$ 

Since $Z_\psi := \text{Im}(Z(\hat{G'}^*_v)^\Gamma \to S_\psi) \simeq Z(\hat{G'}^*_v)^\Gamma / (Z(\hat{G'}^*_v)^\Gamma \cap S_\psi^0)$, if $(G', \psi)$ satisfies the relevance condition then $\hat{\zeta}_{G'}$ can be regarded as a character of $Z_\psi^\Gamma$.

**Conjecture 7.1** ([Art06] §3). Let $F$ be non-archimedean. For a local $A$-parameter $\psi : \mathcal{L}_F \times SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \to ^LGL^*$ there exists a pairing

$$\langle , \rangle_F : S_\psi \times \left( \prod_{G' \in H^1(F, G^*_{ad})} \Pi_{G'}^{G^*} \right) \to \mathbb{C}$$

which satisfies the following condition:

For any inner form $G'$ of $G^*$, if $(G', \psi)$ does not satisfy relevance condition, $\Pi_{G'}^\psi = \emptyset$ and otherwise there exists

$$\rho : \Pi_{G'}^\psi \to \Pi(S_\psi, \hat{\zeta}_{G'}) = \{ \text{irred. repre. } \sigma \text{ of } S_\psi \mid \sigma|_{Z_\psi^\Gamma} = \hat{\zeta}_{G'} \} / \sim$$

such that $\langle s, \pi \rangle_F = \text{Tr} \rho_{\pi}(s)$ for all $s \in S_\psi$.

If $F$ is non-archimedean then the set of inner forms of $G^*$ consists of $G_F^s = Sp(4)$ and non-split group $G_F^{ns}$. If $F$ is real it consists of $G_F^s$, $G_F^{ns} = Sp(1, 1)$ and the compact group $Sp(4)$, and if $F$ is complex it consists of only $G_F^s$. In any case put $\Pi_{G'}^\psi = \Pi_{G'}^{G^*}$, $\Pi_{G'}^{ns} = \Pi_{G'}^{G^*}$ ($\Pi_{G'}^{ns} = \emptyset$ if $F$ is complex). Since we do not treat the case that $G$ coincides with the compact $Sp(4)$ at a real place, we will not consider the $A$-packet in the case.

Next consider the global case. Assume existence of the hypothetical Langlands group $L_k$ of $k$. A global $A$-parameter is defined similarly to the local one. Two $A$-parameters are equivalent if they are $\hat{G}$-conjugate. An $A$-parameter $\psi$ is said to be elliptic if the centralizer $C_\psi$ of the image of $\psi$ into $\hat{G}$ is contained in the center $Z(\hat{G})$ of $\hat{G}$. The set of equivalence classes of elliptic $A$-parameters is denoted by $\Psi_0(G)$. For an elliptic $A$-parameter $\psi$, the associated local $A$-parameter $\psi_v$ is given for any
place $v$ by the hypothetical homomorphism $L_{k_v} \to L_k$. $S_\psi$ is defined similarly to the local one. Then a homomorphism $S_\psi \to S_{\psi_v}$ is given. Assume that the pairing $\langle , \rangle_v : S_{\psi_v} \times (\Pi_\psi \cup \Pi_{\psi_v}) \to C$ satisfying Conjecture 7.1 is given for any $v$. Then the global pairing $\langle , \rangle = \prod_v \langle , \rangle_v : S_\psi \times \Pi_\psi^G \to C$ is defined if the product exist. Let $\epsilon_\psi : S_\psi \to \{\pm 1\}$ be the character defined in [Art89 §4.5 Conj.B]. $\Pi_\psi^C$ is defined by the set of $\pi = \otimes \pi_v$ such that $\pi_v \in \Pi_{\psi_v}^{G(k_v)}$ and $\pi_v$ is unramified for almost all $v$. For $\pi \in \Pi_\psi^G$ set

$$m_\psi(\pi) = \frac{1}{|S_\psi|} \sum_{s \in S_\psi} \epsilon_\psi(s) \langle s, \pi \rangle.$$ 

The Arthur's multiplicity conjecture is described as follows.

Conjecture 7.2 ([Art89 Conj.8.1]). The multiplicity of $\pi$ in the discrete spectrum of $L^2(G(k) \backslash G(A))$ is equal to $\sum_{\psi \in \Psi_0(G)} m_\psi(\pi)$.

7.2 Multiplicity of Klingen CAP representation

From the Adams’ conjecture ([Ada89 § 4, I]), it is expected that $\Theta^1(V, \chi, S)$ belongs to the global $A$-packet associated to the $A$-parameter $\psi = \psi_{k', \chi} : W_k \times SL(2, C) \to SO(5, C) \times W_k$ defined by

$$\psi_{k', \chi} = \left( \text{Ind}_{W_k}^{W_{k'}} \mu(\chi) \otimes 1_{SL(2, C)} \right) \oplus \left( \omega_{k'/k} \otimes \text{Sym}^2 \right) \times \text{Id}_{W_k}.$$ 

Here, $k'$ is the quadratic extension $k(\eta)$ of $k$ with discriminant $\delta$, $W_k, W_{k'}$ the Weil groups of $k, k'$, $\omega_{k'/k}$ the quadratic character associated to the quadratic extension $k'/k$, Sym$^2$ the second symmetric power of the standard representation of $SL(2, C)$ and $\mu(\chi) : W_{k'} \to C^\times$ the image of the element of $H^1(W_k, U_{k'/k}(1))$ associated to $\chi$ by the Langlands’ class field theory via the restriction map $H^1(W_k, U_{k'/k}(1)) \to H^1(W_{k'}, U_{k'/k}(1))$.

We need description of local and global $A$-packets, $S$-groups and pairings to describe the conjectural multiplicity. To obtain local and global $A$-packets, we set an assumption (c.f. [Ada89 § 4.5 Conj.B]).

Assumption Let $F$ be a local field of a form $k_v$ for some $v$, $D_F$ the quaternion algebra $D(F)$ and $K$ a quadratic algebra of $F$ with discriminant $\delta_K$. For a unitary character $\chi_K$ of the group of norm 1 of $K^\times$, set an $A$-parameter $\psi_{K, \chi_K}$ for $G(F)$ by

$$\psi_{K, \chi_K} = \left( \text{Ind}_{W_k}^{W_F} \mu(\chi_K) \otimes 1_{SL(2, C)} \right) \oplus \left( \omega_{K/F} \otimes \text{Sym}^2 \right) \times \text{Id}_{W_F}$$

where $\mu(\chi_K)$ is defined as before. Then the $A$-packet $\Pi_{\psi_{K, \chi_K}}^{G(F)}$ associated to $\psi_{K, \chi_K}$ coincides with

$$\{ \theta(V_F, \sigma) | V_F, \sigma \}$$
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where $V_F$ runs over non-degenerate $(-1)$-hermitian right spaces over $D_F$ with dimension 1 and determinant $-\delta_K$, and $\sigma$ is in the $L$-packet $\Pi^{V_F}_{\chi_\kappa}$ associated to a $L$-parameter $\phi^{V_F}_{\chi_\kappa}$ defined by the composition of the embedding $L G_0(V_F) \to L G(V_F)$ and the $L$-parameter $L_F \to L G_0(V_F)$ associated to $\chi_K$.

By the equivalent relation of $L$-parameters for $G(V_v)$ ([Ada89] § 3.4), we obtain

$$\Pi^{V_v}_{\chi_v} = \begin{cases} \{ \text{irreducible constituents of } \text{Ind}_{G_0(V_v)}^{G(V_v)} \chi_v \} & v \not\in S_D, \\ \{ \chi_v, \chi_v^{-1} \} & v \in S_D. \end{cases}$$

From our assumption, $\Pi^{G(k_v)}_{\psi_v}$ is described as follows:

1. The case of $v \not\in S_D$

$$\Pi^{G(k_v)}_{\psi_v} = \begin{cases} \{ \theta(V^+_v, \chi_v^+) \} & \chi_v^2 \neq 1 \text{ and } \delta \not\in (k_v^\times)^2, \\ \{ \theta(\mathbb{H}_v, \chi_v^+) \} & \chi_v^2 \neq 1 \text{ and } \delta \in (k_v^\times)^2, \\ \{ \theta(V^+_v, \chi_v^-) \} & \chi_v^2 = 1 \text{ and } \delta \not\in (k_v^\times)^2, \\ \{ \theta(\mathbb{H}_v, \chi_v^-) \} & \chi_v^2 = 1 \text{ and } \delta \in (k_v^\times)^2. \end{cases}$$

Here $V^+_v$ is the two-dimensional quadratic space over $k_v$ with determinant $-\delta$ and Hasse invariant $\pm 1$, $\mathbb{H}_v$ is the 2-dimensional hyperbolic space over $k_v$. Note that $\theta(V_v, \sigma_v)$ appearing in the above packets is of the form of an irreducible quotient of $\text{Ind}_{P_v(k_v)}^{Sp(2, k_v)} (\omega_{k'_v/k_v} \cdot |_v \otimes \tau_v)$ for some irreducible representation $\tau_v$ of $SL(2, k_v)$ and the character $\omega_{k'_v/k_v}$ associated to the extension $k'_v/k_v$ except for $\sigma_v = \det$.

2. The case of $v \in S_D$

$$\Pi^{G(k_v)}_{\psi_v} = \begin{cases} \{ \theta(V_v, \chi_v), \theta(V_v, \chi_v^{-1}) \} & \chi_v^2 \neq 1, \\ \{ \theta(V_v, \chi_v) \} & \chi_v^2 = 1, \end{cases}$$

where $V_v$ is the 1-dimensional $(-1)$-hermitian space over $D_v$ with determinant $-\delta$. Note that elements of $\Pi^{G(k_v)}_{\psi_v}$ are supercuspidal except for $\chi_v = 1$.

Next, describe global and local $S$-groups for $\psi$.

$$\mathcal{S}_\psi \simeq \begin{cases} 2\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \chi_v^2 \neq 1, \\ D_4 & \chi_v^2 = 1, \end{cases}$$

where $D_4$ is the dihedral group with 8 elements. If $k'_v$ is a quadratic extension of $k_v$ then

$$\mathcal{S}_\psi \simeq \begin{cases} 2\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \chi_v^2 \neq 1, \\ D_4 & \chi_v^2 = 1, \end{cases}$$

and if $k'_v \simeq k_v \oplus k_v$ then

$$\mathcal{S}_\psi \simeq \begin{cases} \{1\} \times \{1\} & \chi_v^2 \neq 1, \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \{1\} & \chi_v^2 = 1. \end{cases}$$

The homomorphism $\mathcal{S}_\psi \to \mathcal{S}_\psi_v$ is determined by the above description of $S$-groups.
and the following diagram:

\[ D_4 = \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \{1\} \]

\[ 2\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \{1\} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \{1\} \times \{1\} \]

Finally, define a pairing \( \langle , \rangle_v \) as follows. If \( v \in S_D \) and \( \chi_v^2 = 1 \),

\[ \langle s, \theta(V_v, \chi_v) \rangle_v = \begin{cases} 
\pm 2 s = \pm 1 \\
0 \quad \text{otherwise,}
\end{cases} \]

if \( v \in S_D \) and \( \chi_v^2 \neq 1 \),

\[ \langle \cdot, \theta(V_v, \chi_v^\epsilon) \rangle_v = \text{sgn}(\epsilon^{-1}/2) \otimes 1, \]

and otherwise

\[ \langle \cdot, \theta(V_v^\eta, \chi_v^\epsilon) \rangle_v = \text{sgn}(\epsilon^{-1}/2) \otimes \text{sgn}(\eta^{-1}/2), \]

where \( -1 = (2, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \), we regard \( \mathbb{H}_v = V_v^+ \) and if \( S_{\psi_v} \simeq D_4 \) it is reduced to \( \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \) via \( \kappa \). Remark that our definition of local pairings satisfies Conjecture 7.1.

We calculate \( \epsilon_\psi = 1 \) by definition. Therefore, for an irreducible automorphic representation \( \pi = \Theta^1(V, \chi, S) \in \Pi^G_\psi \) for some \( V, S \), the Arthur’s conjectural multiplicity is described by

\[ m_\psi(\pi) = \begin{cases} 
2^{2(S_\chi \cap S_D) - 1} & \chi^2 \neq 1, \ S_D \cap S_\chi \neq \emptyset, \\
2^{2S_D - 2} & \chi^2 = 1, \ S_D \cap S_\chi \neq \emptyset, \\
1 & S_D \cap S_\chi = \emptyset.
\end{cases} \]

References


List of MI Preprint Series, Kyushu University
The Global COE Program
Math-for-Industry Education & Research Hub

MI

MI2008-1 Takahiro ITO, Shuichi INOKUCHI & Yoshihiro MIZOGUCHI
Abstract collision systems simulated by cellular automata

MI2008-2 Eiji ONODERA
The initial value problem for a third-order dispersive flow into compact almost Hermitian manifolds

MI2008-3 Hiroaki KIDO
On isosceles sets in the 4-dimensional Euclidean space

MI2008-4 Hirofumi NOTSU
Numerical computations of cavity flow problems by a pressure stabilized characteristic-curve finite element scheme

MI2008-5 Yoshiyasu OZEKI
Torsion points of abelian varieties with values in infinite extensions over a p-adic field

MI2008-6 Yoshiyuki TOMIYAMA
Lifting Galois representations over arbitrary number fields

MI2008-7 Takehiro HIROTSU & Setsuo TANIGUCHI
The random walk model revisited

MI2008-8 Silvia GANDY, Masaaki KANNO, Hirokazu ANAI & Kazuhiro YOKOYAMA
Optimizing a particular real root of a polynomial by a special cylindrical algebraic decomposition

MI2008-9 Kazufumi KIMOTO, Sho MATSUMOTO & Masato WAKAYAMA
Alpha-determinant cyclic modules and Jacobi polynomials
MI2008-10 Sangyeol LEE & Hiroki MASUDA
Jarque-Bera Normality Test for the Driving Lévy Process of a Discretely Observed Univariate SDE

MI2008-11 Hiroyuki CHIHARA & Eiji ONODERA
A third order dispersive flow for closed curves into almost Hermitian manifolds

MI2008-12 Takehiko KINOSHITA, Kouji HASHIMOTO and Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO
On the $L^2$ a priori error estimates to the finite element solution of elliptic problems with singular adjoint operator

MI2008-13 Jacques FARAUT and Masato WAKAYAMA
Hermitian symmetric spaces of tube type and multivariate Meixner-Pollaczek polynomials

MI2008-14 Takashi NAKAMURA
Riemann zeta-values, Euler polynomials and the best constant of Sobolev inequality

MI2008-15 Takashi NAKAMURA
Some topics related to Hurwitz-Lerch zeta functions

MI2009-1 Yasuhide FUKUMOTO
Global time evolution of viscous vortex rings

MI2009-2 Hidetoshi MATSUI & Sadanori KONISHI
Regularized functional regression modeling for functional response and predictors

MI2009-3 Hidetoshi MATSUI & Sadanori KONISHI
Variable selection for functional regression model via the $L_1$ regularization

MI2009-4 Shuichi KAWANO & Sadanori KONISHI
Nonlinear logistic discrimination via regularized Gaussian basis expansions

MI2009-5 Toshiro HIRANOUCCHI & Yuichiro TAGUCHII
Flat modules and Groebner bases over truncated discrete valuation rings
MI2009-6 Kenji KAJIWARA & Yasuhiro OHTA
Bilinearization and Casorati determinant solutions to non-autonomous 1+1 dimensional discrete soliton equations

MI2009-7 Yoshiyuki KAGEI
Asymptotic behavior of solutions of the compressible Navier-Stokes equation around the plane Couette flow

MI2009-8 Shohei TATEISHI, Hidetoshi MATSUI & Sadanori KONISHI
Nonlinear regression modeling via the lasso-type regularization

MI2009-9 Takeshi TAKAISHI & Masato KIMURA
Phase field model for mode III crack growth in two dimensional elasticity

MI2009-10 Shingo SAITO
Generalisation of Mack’s formula for claims reserving with arbitrary exponents for the variance assumption

MI2009-11 Kenji KAJIWARA, Masanobu KANEKO, Atsushi NOBE & Teruhisa TSUDA
Ultradiscretization of a solvable two-dimensional chaotic map associated with the Hesse cubic curve

MI2009-12 Tetsu MASUDA
Hypergeometric \( \Phi \)-functions of the \( q \)-Painlevé system of type \( E_8^{(1)} \)

MI2009-13 Hidenao IWANE, Hitoshi YANAMI, Hirokazu ANAI & Kazuhiro YOKOYAMA
A Practical Implementation of a Symbolic-Numeric Cylindrical Algebraic Decomposition for Quantifier Elimination

MI2009-14 Yasunori MAEKAWA
On Gaussian decay estimates of solutions to some linear elliptic equations and its applications

MI2009-15 Yuya ISHIHARA & Yoshiyuki KAGEI
Large time behavior of the semigroup on \( L^p \) spaces associated with the linearized compressible Navier-Stokes equation in a cylindrical domain
MI2009-16 Chikashi ARITA, Atsuo KUNIBA, Kazumitsu SAKAI & Tsuyoshi SAWABE
Spectrum in multi-species asymmetric simple exclusion process on a ring

MI2009-17 Masato WAKAYAMA & Keitaro YAMAMOTO
Non-linear algebraic differential equations satisfied by certain family of elliptic functions

MI2009-18 Me Me NAING & Yasuhide FUKUMOTO
Local Instability of an Elliptical Flow Subjected to a Coriolis Force

MI2009-19 Mitsunori KAYANO & Sadanori KONISHI
Sparse functional principal component analysis via regularized basis expansions and its application

MI2009-20 Shuichi KAWANO & Sadanori KONISHI
Semi-supervised logistic discrimination via regularized Gaussian basis expansions

MI2009-21 Hiroshi YOSHIDA, Yoshihiro MIWA & Masanobu KANEKO
Elliptic curves and Fibonacci numbers arising from Lindenmayer system with symbolic computations

MI2009-22 Eiji ONODERA
A remark on the global existence of a third order dispersive flow into locally Hermitian symmetric spaces

MI2009-23 Stjepan LUGOMER & Yasuhide FUKUMOTO
Generation of ribbons, helicoids and complex scherk surface in laser-matter Interactions

MI2009-24 Yu KAWAKAMI
Recent progress in value distribution of the hyperbolic Gauss map

MI2009-25 Takehiko KINOSHITA & Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO
On very accurate enclosure of the optimal constant in the a priori error estimates for $H^2_0$-projection
MI2009-26  Manabu YOSHIDA  
Ramification of local fields and Fontaine's property (Pm)

MI2009-27  Yu KAWAKAMI  
Value distribution of the hyperbolic Gauss maps for flat fronts in hyperbolic three-space

MI2009-28  Masahisa TABATA  
Numerical simulation of fluid movement in an hourglass by an energy-stable finite element scheme

MI2009-29  Yoshiyuki KAGEI & Yasunori MAEKAWA  
Asymptotic behaviors of solutions to evolution equations in the presence of translation and scaling invariance

MI2009-30  Yoshiyuki KAGEI & Yasunori MAEKAWA  
On asymptotic behaviors of solutions to parabolic systems modelling chemotaxis

MI2009-31  Masato WAKAYAMA & Yoshinori YAMASAKI  
Hecke's zeros and higher depth determinants

MI2009-32  Olivier PIRONNEAU & Masahisa TABATA  
Stability and convergence of a Galerkin-characteristics finite element scheme of lumped mass type

MI2009-33  Chikashi ARITA  
Queueing process with excluded-volume effect

MI2009-34  Kenji KAJIWARA, Nobutaka NAKAZONO & Teruhisa TSUDA  
Projective reduction of the discrete Painlevé system of type$(A_2 + A_1)^{(1)}$

MI2009-35  Yosuke MIZUYAMA, Takamasa SHINDE, Masahisa TABATA & Daisuke TAGAMI  
Finite element computation for scattering problems of micro-hologram using DtN map
MI2009-36 Reiichiro Kawai & Hiroki Masuda
Exact simulation of finite variation tempered stable Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes

MI2009-37 Hiroki Masuda
On statistical aspects in calibrating a geometric skewed stable asset price model

MI2010-1 Hiroki Masuda
Approximate self-weighted LAD estimation of discretely observed ergodic Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes

MI2010-2 Reiichiro Kawai & Hiroki Masuda
Infinite variation tempered stable Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes with discrete observations

MI2010-3 Kei Hirose, Shuichi Kawano, Daisuke Miike & Sadanori Konishi
Hyper-parameter selection in Bayesian structural equation models

MI2010-4 Nobuyuki Ikeda & Setsuo Taniguchi
The Itô-Nisio theorem, quadratic Wiener functionals, and 1-solitons

MI2010-5 Shohei Tateishi & Sadanori Konishi
Nonlinear regression modeling and detecting change point via the relevance vector machine

MI2010-6 Shuichi Kawano, Toshihiro Misumi & Sadanori Konishi
Semi-supervised logistic discrimination via graph-based regularization

MI2010-7 Teruhisa Tsuda
UC hierarchy and monodromy preserving deformation

MI2010-8 Takahiro Ito
Abstract collision systems on groups

MI2010-9 Hiroshi Yoshida, Kinji Kimura, Naoki Yoshida, Junko Tanaka & Yoshihiro Miwa
An algebraic approach to underdetermined experiments
MI2010-10 Kei HIROSE & Sadanori KONISHI
Variable selection via the grouped weighted lasso for factor analysis models

MI2010-11 Katsusuke NABESHIMA & Hiroshi YOSHIDA
Derivation of specific conditions with Comprehensive Groebner Systems

MI2010-12 Yoshiyuki KAGEI, Yu NAGAFUCHI & Takeshi SUDOU
Decay estimates on solutions of the linearized compressible Navier-Stokes equation around a Poiseuille type flow

MI2010-13 Reiichiro KAWAI & Hiroki MASUDA
On simulation of tempered stable random variates

MI2010-14 Yoshiyasu OZEKI
Non-existence of certain Galois representations with a uniform tame inertia weight

MI2010-15 Me Me NAING & Yasuhide FUKUMOTO
Local Instability of a Rotating Flow Driven by Precession of Arbitrary Frequency

MI2010-16 Yu KAWAKAMI & Daisuke NAKAJO
The value distribution of the Gauss map of improper affine spheres

MI2010-17 Kazunori YASUTAKE
On the classification of rank 2 almost Fano bundles on projective space

MI2010-18 Toshimitsu TAKAESU
Scaling limits for the system of semi-relativistic particles coupled to a scalar bose field

MI2010-19 Reiichiro KAWAI & Hiroki MASUDA
Local asymptotic normality for normal inverse Gaussian Lévy processes with high-frequency sampling

MI2010-20 Yasuhide FUKUMOTO, Makoto HIROTA & Youichi MIE
Lagrangian approach to weakly nonlinear stability of an elliptical flow
MI2010-21 Hiroki MASUDA
Approximate quadratic estimating function for discretely observed Lévy driven SDEs with application to a noise normality test

MI2010-22 Toshimitsu TAKAESU
A Generalized Scaling Limit and its Application to the Semi-Relativistic Particles System Coupled to a Bose Field with Removing Ultraviolet Cutoffs

MI2010-23 Takahiro ITO, Mitsuhiko FUJIO, Shuichi INOKUCHI & Yoshihiro MIZOGUCHI
Composition, union and division of cellular automata on groups

MI2010-24 Toshimitsu TAKAESU
A Hardy’s Uncertainty Principle Lemma in Weak Commutation Relations of Heisenberg-Lie Algebra

MI2010-25 Toshimitsu TAKAESU
On the Essential Self-Adjointness of Anti-Commutative Operators

MI2010-26 Reiichiro KAWAI & Hiroki MASUDA
On the local asymptotic behavior of the likelihood function for Meixner Lévy processes under high-frequency sampling

MI2010-27 Chikashi ARITA & Daichi YANAGISAWA
Exclusive Queueing Process with Discrete Time

MI2010-28 Jun-ichi INOGUCHI, Kenji KAJIWARA, Nozomu MATSUURA & Yasuhiro OHTA
Motion and Bäcklund transformations of discrete plane curves

MI2010-29 Takanori YASUDA, Masaya YASUDA, Takeshi SHIMOYAMA & Jun KOGURE
On the Number of the Pairing-friendly Curves

MI2010-30 Chikashi ARITA & Kohei MOTEGI
Spin-spin correlation functions of the $q$-VBS state of an integer spin model

MI2010-31 Shohei TATEISHI & Sadanori KONISHI
Nonlinear regression modeling and spike detection via Gaussian basis expansions
MI2010-32 Nobutaka NAKAZONO
Hypergeometric $\tau$ functions of the $q$-Painlevé systems of type $(A_2 + A_1)^{(1)}$

MI2010-33 Yoshiyuki KAGEI
Global existence of solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes equation around parallel flows

MI2010-34 Nobushige KUROKAWA, Masato WAKAYAMA & Yoshinori YAMASAKI
Milnor-Selberg zeta functions and zeta regularizations

MI2010-35 Kissani PERERA & Yoshihiro MIZOGUCHI
Laplacian energy of directed graphs and minimizing maximum outdegree algorithms

MI2010-36 Takanori Yasuda
CAP representations of inner forms of $Sp(4)$ with respect to Klingen parabolic subgroup