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Abstract. The random walk model was introduced and investigated by D. Heyer [1]. It is a loss development model, where the geometric Brownian motion, which is frequently used in Mathematical Finance (for example, recall the famous Black-Scholes option pricing formula), is applied to cumulative losses. While Heyer applied his model to estimating IBNR (incurred but not yet reported) losses of each accident year, he made no observation on the year-on-year loss (the loss to be paid in the specific future year). To estimate year-on-year losses is one of urgent issues in the non-life insurance industry. In this paper, as another application of the random walk model, the conditional distribution and the conditional confidence interval of the year-on-year loss to be paid in the specific future year, being given the cumulative losses of the present, will be investigated.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In the random walk model introduced by D. Heyer [1], it is assumed that the cumulative loss development \( P_t \) of age \( t \) obeys the stochastic differential equation (SDE in short)

\[
dP_t = \mu(t)P_tdt + \sigma(t)P_tdB_t,
\]

where \( \mu, \sigma : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R} \) are continuous, and \( dB_t \) stands for the Itô integral with respect to the 1-dimensional Brownian motion \( \{B_t\}_{t \geq 0} \). For Itô integrals, see Section A. If \( \mu(t) \) and \( \sigma(t) \) are both constant functions, then \( \{P_t\}_{t \geq 0} \) is the geometric Brownian motion, which plays a key role in the famous Black-Scholes model in Mathematical Finance.

Let \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). For \( 1 \leq i \leq n, \ t \geq 0 \), denote by \( S_i^t \) the cumulative loss from accident year \( k \) as of age \( t \). See Figure 1 below. In this paper, we assume that each cumulative loss obeys the same SDE that \( P_t \) does;

\[
dS_i^t = \mu(t)S_i^t|t|dt + \sigma(t)S_i^t|dB_i^t, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n,
\]

where \( \{B_i^t\}_{t \geq 0}, \ldots, \{B_n^t\}_{t \geq 0} \) are independent 1-dimensional Brownian motions with \( B_i^0 = 0, \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \). Due to Itô’s formula, it holds (Proposition 3, Sect.B) that

\[
S_i^t = S_i^0 \exp\left(\nu(s; t) + \int_s^t \sigma(u)dB_u^t\right),
\]

where

\[
\nu(s; t) = \int_s^t \{\mu(u) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(u)\}du.
\]

For \( k \) with \( n + 1 \leq k \leq 2n \), define \( T_k \) by

\[
T_k = \sum_{i=0}^{2n-k} \{S_{k-n+i}^{n-i} - S_{k-n+i-1}^{n-i}\}.
\]

See Figure 2 below. By definition, \( T_k \) stands for the total loss to be paid in the year \( k \). The aim of this paper is to investigate the conditional distribution and the conditional confidence intervals of \( T_k \) given the present cumulative loss.

More precisely, for \( r = (r_1, \ldots, r_n) \) with \( r_j > 0, 1 \leq j \leq n \), denote by \( P(r) \) the conditional probability given \( S_{j}^{t} = r_j, 1 \leq j, \ell \leq n \), with \( j + \ell = n + 1 \);

\[
P(r)(A) = P(A \mid S_{n-j+1}^{i} = r_j, j = 1, \ldots, n), \quad A \in \mathcal{F}.
\]
where \((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)\) is the underlying probability space, on which the Brownian motions \(\{B^i_t\}_{t \geq 0}, 1 \leq i \leq n,\) are defined. The conditions are given on the diagonal as below (Figure 3); In this paper, we investigate the distribution
\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c}
\text{Accident year} & \text{Age} & 1 & 2 & \cdots & n-1 & n \\
\hline
1 & & & & & & S^1_n \\
\vdots & & & & & & S^2_{n-1} \\
n-1 & & & & & & S^{n-1}_n \\
n & & & & & & S^n_n
\end{array}
\]

Figure 3: Conditioning

and the confidence intervals of \(\text{distribution of } \sum_{i=1}^n S_{X_i}^i \) and the confidence intervals of \(\sigma^2(k-n+i-1; k-n+i)\), respectively, where
\[
\sigma^2(s; t) = \int_s^t \sigma(u)^2 \, du.
\]

2. DISTRIBUTION

Let \(S^i_n\), \(T_k\), and \(P^{(r)}\) be as in the previous section. In this section, we investigate the distribution of \(T_k\) under \(P^{(r)}\).

We start this section with introducing some notations. For a random variable \(X\), we write \(X \sim LN(m, v)\) if \(X\) obeys the log-normal distribution, i.e., the probability density function \(f_{m, v}\) of \(X\) is of the form
\[
f_{m, v}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi v}x} e^{-(\log x - m)^2/2v} \chi_{[0, \infty)}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}
\]
where \(\chi_A\) stands for the indicator function of \(A\);
\[
\chi_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in A, \\
0, & x \notin A.
\end{cases}
\]

Define \(f_{m,v,t,u} : \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty)\) by
\[
f_{m,v,t,u}(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{m,v}(\frac{z}{w} + 1) f_{t,u}(w) \frac{1}{w} \, dw, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

Since \(S_{k-n+i}^{n-i} - S_{k-n+i-1}^{n-i}, i = 0, \ldots, 2n - k\), are independent under \(P^{(r)}\) (Proposition 4, Sect.B), the distribution of \(T_k\) is obtained as the convolution of those of \(S_{k-n+i}^{n-i} - S_{k-n+i-1}^{n-i}, i = 0, \ldots, 2n - k\), hence we have that
\[
P^{(r)}(S_{k-n+i}^{n-i} - S_{k-n+i-1}^{n-i} \leq a) = \int_{-\infty}^{a} f_{r,i}^i(x) \, dx
\]
for any \(a \in \mathbb{R}\).

We first assume that \(k > n + 2\). By Proposition 4 in Sect.B, \(S_{k-n+i}^{n-i}/S_{k-n+i-1}^{n-i}\) and \(S_{k-n+i-1}^{n-i}/S_{k-n+i}^{n-i}\) are independent, and obey the distributions
\[
LN(\nu(k-n+i-1; k-n+i)), \sigma^2(k-n+i-1; k-n+i),
\]
and
\[
LN(\nu(i+1; k-n+i-1)), \sigma^2(i+1; k-n+i-1),
\]
respectively, where
\[
\sigma^2(s; t) = \int_s^t \sigma(u)^2 \, du.
\]

If we set
\[
m(i, k) = \nu(k-n+i-1; k-n+i),
\]
\[
v(i, k) = \sigma^2(k-n+i-1; k-n+i),
\]
\[
\ell(i, k) = \nu(i+1; k-n+i-1) + \log r_{n-i},
\]
\[
u(i, k) = \sigma^2(i+1; k-n+i-1),
\]
and
\[
f_{k,r} \equiv f_{m(i,k),v(i,k),\ell(i,k),\nu(i,k)}(i, k),
\]
then, by virtue of Proposition 5 in Sect.B, \(f_{k,r}^i\) is the probability density function of \(S_{k-n+i}^{n-i} - S_{k-n+i-1}^{n-i}\) under \(P^{(r)}\);
\[
P^{(r)}(S_{k-n+i}^{n-i} - S_{k-n+i-1}^{n-i} \leq a) = \int_{-\infty}^{a} f_{k,r}^i(x) \, dx
\]
for every \(a \in \mathbb{R}\).

We next assume that \(k = n + 2\). Then, the quantity \(S_{k-n+i}^{n-i} - S_{k-n+i-1}^{n-i}\) in the right hand side of (4) equals to 1. Hence we have that
\[
P^{(r)}(S_{k-n+i}^{n-i} - S_{k-n+i-1}^{n-i} \leq a) = P^{(r)}(r_{n-i} \leq a + r_{n-i})
\]
If we put
\[
m(i,r) = \nu(i+1; i+2) + \log r_{n-i},
\]
and
\[
\ell(i,r) = \nu(i+1; i+2),
\]
and
\[
f_{n+2,r}^i(x) = f_{m(r,i),v(i)}(x-r_{n-i}), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},
\]
then, by Proposition 5 in Sect.B, \(f_{n+2,r}^i\) is the probability density function of \(S_{k-n+i}^{n-i} - S_{k-n+i-1}^{n-i}\) under \(P^{(r)}\);
\[
P(S_{k-n+i}^{n-i} - S_{k-n+i-1}^{n-i} \leq a) = \int_{-\infty}^{a} f_{n+2,r}^i(x) \, dx
\]
for any \(a \in \mathbb{R}\).

Summing up the above observations, we arrive at

Theorem 1. Let \(f_{k,r}\)'s be as above. Define
\[
f_{k,r} = f_{k,r}^0 * f_{k,r}^1 * \cdots * f_{k,r}^{2n-k},
\]
where \(*\) indicates the convolution. Then, \(f_{k,r}\) is the probability density function of \(T_k\) under \(P^{(r)}\);
\[
P^{(r)}(T_k \leq a) = \int_{-\infty}^{a} f_{k,r}(x) \, dx, \quad \text{for every } a \in \mathbb{R}.
\]
3. CONFIDENCE INTERVAL

We first compute the expectation $t_k(r)$ of $T_k$ under $P(r)$. It holds that

$$S_{i+1}^{n-i} = r_{n-i} S_{i+1}^{n-i} \text{ under } P(r).$$

By Proposition 4 in Sect.B, under $P(r)$,

$$S_{i+1}^{n-i} \sim \text{LN}(\nu(i+1; t), \sigma^2(i+1; t)).$$

We then have that

$$E_{P(r)} \left[ \frac{S_{i+1}^{n-i}}{S_{i+1}^{n-i}} \right] = e^{\mu(i+1; k-n+i)},$$

where $E_{P(r)}$ stands for the expectation with respect to $P(r)$, and

$$\mu(s; t) = \int_s^t \mu(u)du = \nu(s; t) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(s; t).$$

Hence

$$t_k(r) = E_{P(r)}[T_k] = \sum_{i=0}^{2n-k} r_{n-i} \{e^{\mu(i+1; k-n+i)} - e^{\mu(i+1; k-n+i+1)}\}.$$}

For $\alpha \in (0, 100)$, choose $B'_\alpha$ and $R'_\alpha$ so that

$$\int_{t_k(r)+B'_\alpha}^{t_k(r)+B'_\alpha} f_{k,r}(x)dx = 1 - \frac{\alpha}{100},$$

$$\int_{R'_\alpha}^{\infty} f_{k,r}(x)dx = \frac{\alpha}{100}.$$}

Then it holds that

$$P(r)(T_k \notin (t_k(r) - B'_\alpha, t_k(r) + B'_\alpha)) = \frac{\alpha}{100},$$

and

$$P(r)(T_k \geq R'_\alpha) = \frac{\alpha}{100}.$$}

Hence we have that

**Proposition 1.** (i) The two-sided $(100 - \alpha)$% confidence interval of $T_k$ is $(t_k(r) - B'_\alpha, t_k(r) + B'_\alpha)$.

(ii) The one-sided $(100 - \alpha)$% confidence interval of $T_k$ is $[0, R'_\alpha)$.

From the second assertion, we see that the total loss of the year $k$ is at most $R'_\alpha$ with $(100 - \alpha)$% reliability.

Using the Chebychev inequality, we shall compute more concrete confidence interval. In what follows, we denote by $V_{P(r)}(X)$ the variance of $X$ under $P(r)$.

Due to the Chebychev inequality, it holds that

$$P(r)(|T_k-t_k(r)| \geq C) \leq \frac{1}{C^2} V_{P(r)}(T_k), \quad C > 0.$$}

By Proposition 4 in Sect.B, $S_{k+n-i}^{n-i} - S_{k+n-i-1}^{n-i}, 0 \leq i \leq 2n-k$, are independent, and hence

$$V_{P(r)}(T_k) = \sum_{i=0}^{2n-k} V_{P(r)}(S_{k+n-i}^{n-i} - S_{k+n-i-1}^{n-i}).$$

By virtue of (4), we see that

$$V_{P(r)}(S_{k+n-i}^{n-i} - S_{k+n-i-1}^{n-i}) = r_{n-i}^2 V_{P(r)}\left(\frac{S_{k-n+i}^{n-i}}{S_{k+n-i+1}^{n-i}} - 1\right) \frac{S_{k-n+i}^{n-i}}{S_{k+n-i+1}^{n-i}}.$$}

Plugging this, Propositions 4 and 5, and (6) into (5), we obtain that

$$P(r)(|T_k-t_k(r)| \geq C) \leq \frac{1}{C^2} \sum_{i=0}^{2n-k} r_{n-i}^2 V_i,$$

where

$$V_i = e^{2\mu(i+1; k-n+i+1)+\sigma^2(i+1; k-n+i+1)} \times e^{2\mu(k-n+i+1; k-n+i)} \times \left(e^{\sigma^2(k-n+i+1; k-n+i-1)} - 1\right) \times \left(e^{\mu(k-n+i+1; k-n+i-1)} - 1\right)^2.$$}

For $\alpha \in (0, 100)$, choose $C'_{\alpha}$ so that

$$\frac{1}{(C'_{\alpha})^2} \sum_{i=0}^{2n-k} r_{n-i}^2 V_i \leq \frac{\alpha}{100}.$$}

Then it holds that

$$P(r)(T_k \notin (t_k(r) - C'_{\alpha}, t_k(r) + C'_{\alpha})) \leq \frac{\alpha}{100}.$$}

Thus we have that

**Proposition 2.** The interval

$$(t_k(r) - C'_{\alpha}, t_k(r) + C'_{\alpha})$$

is the two-sided more than $(100 - \alpha)$% confidence interval of $T_k$.

**Remark 1.** For a square integrable random variable $X$, $E[(X-a)^2]$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$, is minimized when $a = E[X]$, i.e., the variance of $X$ is the minimum of $E[(X-a)^2]$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$. In this sense, the above two-sided confidence interval is the best one as far as one controls the probability $P(|X-a| \geq C)$ by $E[(X-a)^2]$ via the Chebychev inequality.

A. ITÔ INTEGRALS OF DETERMINISTIC CONTINUOUS FUNCTION

In this section, we shall give a brief review on Itô integrals of deterministic continuous function. For general Itô integrals, see [2].
Let \( \{k_t\}_{t \geq 0} \) be a 1-dimensional Brownian motion on a probability space \((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)\), and \( \sigma : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R} \) a continuous function. For \( s < t \), set \( T_{k,i}^{s,t} = s + i(t-s)2^{-k} \), and define
\[
I_k(s; t) = \sum_{i=0}^{2^k-1} \sigma(T_{k,i}^{s,t}) \{\beta_{r_{i+1}} - \beta_{r_i}\}.
\]
If \( m > k \), then it holds that
\[
I_k(s; t) - I_m(s; t) = \sum_{i=0}^{2^k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{2^m-1} \{\sigma(T_{k,i}^{s,t}) - \sigma(T_{m,j}^{s,t})\} \times \{\beta_{r_{i+1}} - \beta_{r_i}\} \times \{\beta_{r_{j+1}} - \beta_{r_j}\}.
\]
By the independent increments property of the Brownian motion and the fact that \( \beta_t - \beta_s \) obeys the normal distribution of mean 0 and covariance \( u - v \), it holds that
\[
E\left[\left(\beta_{r_{i+1}} - \beta_{r_i}\right) \times \left(\beta_{r_{j+1}} - \beta_{r_j}\right)\right] = \delta_{ij} \sigma^2(t-s).
\]
Plugging this into the above identity, we have that
\[
E[(I_k(s; t) - I_m(s; t))^2] = \sum_{i=0}^{2^k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{2^m-1} \left\{\sigma(T_{k,i}^{s,t}) - \sigma(T_{m,j}^{s,t})\right\}^2 \sigma^2(t-s).
\]
Thus, \( I_k(s; t) \) admits \( L^2 \)-limit, say \( \int_s^t \sigma(u) du \), which is the Itô integral of \( \sigma(u) \) over \([s, t]\);
\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} E\left[\left(I_k(s; t) - \int_s^t \sigma(u) du\right)^2\right] = 0.
\]

Since stochastic integrals of deterministic function was first considered by N. Wiener, an Itô integral of deterministic function is often called the Wiener integral.

**B. Mathematical Observations**

We first give an expression of \( S_i^t \). As remarked at the end of the first section, we assume that the age parameter \( t \) runs over \( N \).

**Proposition 3.** \( S_i^t \) satisfies that
\[
S_i^t = S_0^s \exp\left(\int_s^t \sigma(u) du\right),
\]
\( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \).

**Proof.** Let \( 0 < s \) and
\[
X_t = \int_s^t \nu(u) du + \int_s^t \sigma(u) dB_u^i,
\]
where
\[
\nu(u) = \mu(u) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma(u)^2.
\]
Applying Itô’s formula \((2)\) to \( f(X_t) \), \( t \geq s \), where \( f(x) = e^x \), we see that \( Y_t = f(X_t) \) obeys the SDE
\[
dY_t = f'(X_t) dX_t + \frac{1}{2} f''(X_t) d\langle X, X \rangle_t
\]
\[
= Y_t \{\nu(t) dt + \sigma(t) dB_t^i\} + \frac{1}{2} Y_t \sigma(t)^2 dt
\]
\[
= \mu(t) Y_t dt + \sigma(t) Y_t dB_t^i.
\]
Due to the uniqueness of solution \((2)\), we have that
\[
S_i^t = S_i^s Y_t = S_i^s \exp\left(\int_s^t \nu(u) du + \int_s^t \sigma(u) dB_u^i\right).
\]
This implies the desired expression of \( S_i^t \).

We next investigate on \( S_i^t \) under the conditional probability \( P^{(\nu)} \).

**Proposition 4.** Under the probability measure \( P^{(\nu)} \), the following assertions hold.
(i) For \( i \leq n \) and \( s \geq i + 1 \), the processes \( \{S_i^{n-i}/S_i^{n-1}\}_{i,s} \) and \( \{S_i^{n-i} + 1\}_{i+1,s} \) are independent. Moreover,
\[
S_i^{n-i}/S_i^{n-1} \sim LN(\nu(s,t), \sigma^2(s,t)).
\]
(ii) \( \{S^2_t\}_{t \geq n}, \{S^2_t\}_{t \geq n-1}, \ldots, \{S^2_t\}_{t \geq 2} \) are independent.

The proof of the proposition is broken into several steps, each step being a lemma.

**Lemma 1.** Let \( s < t \). Under the probability measure \( P \),
\[
S_i^t/S_i^s \sim LN(\nu(s,t), \sigma^2(s,t)).
\]

**Proof.** We continue to use the same notation as used in Sect.A. Due to the observation in the previous section, if we set
\[
I_k(s; t) = \sum_{j=0}^{2^k-1} \sigma(T_{k,j}^{s,t}) \{B_{T_{k,j+1}}^i - B_{T_{k,j}}^i\},
\]
then we obtain that
\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} E\left[\left(I_k(s; t) - \int_s^t \sigma(u) dB_u^i\right)^2\right] = 0,
\]
\[
E[I_k(s; t)] = 0,
\]
\[
E[(I_k(s; t))^2] = \sum_{j=0}^{2^k-1} \sigma(T_{k,j}^{s,t})^2 2^{-k}(t-s) \to \sigma^2(s,t).
\]
Since each $I_k^t(s; t)$ obeys the normal distribution, these yield that
\[
E \left[ \exp \left( \sqrt{-1} \lambda \int_s^t \sigma(u) dB_u^i \right) \right] = \lim_{k \to \infty} E[\exp(\sqrt{-1} I_k^t(s; t))] = \lim_{k \to \infty} \exp \left( - \frac{\sigma^2(s; t) \lambda^2}{2} \right) = \exp \left( - \frac{\sigma^2(s; t) \lambda^2}{2} \right) \text{ for any } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

Thus $\int_0^t \sigma(u) dB_u^i$ obeys the normal distribution of mean 0 and variance $\sigma^2(s; t)$. In conjunction with (2), this implies the desired assertion.

Lemma 2. Let $s > 0$. Under $P$, $\{S^t_i/S^s_i\}_{t > s}$ and $\{S^s_i\}_{t < s}$ are independent.

Proof. We continue to use the same notation as used in the proof of Lemma 1. Due to the independent increment property of the Brownian motion, we see that $\{I_k^t(0; v)\}_{t \geq s}$ and $\{I_k^t(s; t)\}_{t > s}$ are independent. By (7), this implies that so are $\{\int_0^t \sigma(u) dB_u^i\}_{t \leq s}$ and $\{\int_0^t \sigma(u) dB_u^i\}_{t > s}$. Plugging this independence into (8), we see that $\{S^t_i/S^s_i\}_{t > s}$ and $\{S^s_i\}_{t < s}$ are independent.

Lemma 3. Under $P$, $\{S^t_i\}_{t \geq 0}$, $\{S^2_i\}_{t \geq 0}$, $\ldots$, $\{S^n_i\}_{t \geq 0}$ are independent.

Proof. We use the same notation as used in the proof of Lemma 1. Due to the independence of components of n-dimensional Brownian motion,
\[
\{I_k^t(0; t)\}_{t \geq 0}, \{I_k^t(0; t)\}_{t \geq 0}, \ldots, \{I_k^n(0; t)\}_{t \geq 0}
\]
are independent. Letting $k \to \infty$, we obtain the desired assertion.

Proof of Proposition 4. (i) Let $i + 1 \leq v_1 \leq \cdots \leq v_m \leq s < t_1 < \cdots < t_k$. For $a_1, \ldots, a_m, b_1, \ldots, b_k \in \mathbb{R}$, put
\[
A = \{S^{n-i}_{v_p}/S^{n-i}_{v_p} \leq b_p, 1 \leq p \leq k\},
\]
\[
B = \{S^{n-i}_{v_q} \leq a_q, 1 \leq q \leq m\}.
\]
It follows from Lemmas 2 and 3 that
\[
P^{(r)}(A \cap B) = P(A \cap B|S^{n-i}_{v_{i+1}} = r_{n-i}) = P(A|S^{n-i}_{v_{i+1}} = r_{n-i}) P(B|S^{n-i}_{v_{i+1}} = r_{n-i}) = P^{(r)}(A) P^{(r)}(B).
\]

This implies first the desired independence, and then in conjunction with Lemma 1, does the desired conclusion on the distribution.

(ii) The assertion follows from Lemmas 2 and 3 by the similar argument as employed to prove the assertion (i). The details are omitted.

We finally make some observations on log-normal distributions.

Proposition 5. Let $X, Y$ be independent random variables such that $X \sim LN(m, v)$ and $Y \sim LN(t, u)$. Then,

(i) $f_{m,v,t,u}$ is the probability density function of the random variable $Y(X - 1)$.

(ii) The variance $V(Y(X - 1))$ of $Y(X - 1)$ is given by
\[
V(Y(X - 1)) = e^{2t+2u} e^{2m+v} (e^v - 1) + e^{2t+u} (e^u - 1)(e^{m+v/2} - 1)^2.
\]

Proof. (i) Let $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded continuous function. Due to the independence of $X$ and $Y$, we have that
\[
E[g(Y(X - 1))] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(y(x-1)) f_{m,v}(x) f_{t,u}(y) dx dy.
\]

The Jacobi matrix for the change of variables $z = y(x-1)$ and $w = y$ is
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1/w & -z/w^2 \\
0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Hence we have that
\[
E[g(Y(X - 1))] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(z) f_{m,v,t,u}(z) dz.
\]

This completes the proof.

(ii) Since $E[X] = e^{m+(v/2)}$ and $E[X^2] = e^{2m+2v}$, due to the independence of $X$ and $Y$, we have that
\[
V(Y(X - 1))
\]
\[
\]
\[
= e^{2t+2u} (e^{2m+2v} - 2e^{m+(v/2)} + 1) - e^{2t+u} (e^{m+(v/2)} - 1)^2
\]
\[
= e^{2t+2u} e^{2m+v} (e^v - 1) + e^{2t+u} (e^u - 1)(e^{m+v/2} - 1)^2.
\]
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